1	
2	CABINET FOR HEALTH AND FAMILY SERVICES DEPARTMENT FOR MEDICAID
3	CONSUMER RIGHTS AND CLIENT NEEDS TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING
4	TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Via Videoconference April 16, 2024
14	Commencing at 1:40 p.m.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	Shana W. Spencer, RPR, CRR
23	Court Reporter
24	
25	
	1

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	BOARD MEMBERS:
4	Emily Beauregard, TAC Chair
5	Miranda Brown
6	Arthur Campbell, Jr. (not present)
7	Brenda Mannino
8	Melanie Tyner-Wilson (not present)
9	Christy Hardin (not present)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
۷.	2
	<u>-</u>

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Welcome,
3	everyone. Sorry it's taken us a few minutes
4	to get things started today and happy
5	post-sine die if anybody has been working on
6	the legislative session. Very nice to have
7	that behind us for the rest of the year.
8	So why don't we start with
9	introductions. I'm Emily Beauregard. I'm
10	the director of Kentucky Voices For Health
11	and the chair of the Consumer TAC.
12	And I believe that Miranda and Brenda
13	are the other two TAC members that we have
14	with us right now. So why don't you two go
15	ahead and introduce ourselves.
16	MS. BROWN: Hello. I am Miranda
17	Brown, and I am a representative from
18	Kentucky Equal Justice Center as a member of
19	the TAC.
20	MS. MANNINO: I'm Brenda Mannino,
21	and I'm a representative of the AARP
22	organization.
23	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Great.
24	And, Erin, if you could let me know if
25	Arthur is able to join us. Then we can make
	3

1	sure that he's able to introduce himself. At
2	the moment, with three TAC members, we don't
3	have a quorum. But if Arthur does join, we
4	will revisit that part of the agenda. And
5	without a quorum, we're not going to go
6	and go over the minutes or ask for those
7	to be approved.
8	We can start with the standing data
9	requests.
10	MS. GRIFFIN: This is Jiordan
11	Griffin with the Department For Medicaid
12	Services Eligibility and Enrollment Branch,
13	and I can I have a slideshow to present
14	here. Give me just a second. Can everybody
15	see the slideshow?
16	MS. MANNINO: Yes.
17	MS. GRIFFIN: Okay. All right. So
18	for our current enrollments, we have 1,506
19	members in presumptive eligibility, 274
20	members in emergency time-limited Medicaid
21	right now. Our traditional Medicaid, or
22	fee-for-service, numbers are 172,097. And
23	then we have 1,389,304 members in managed
24	care for a total enrollment of 1,561,401.
25	And then I think we wanted to talk a
	4

1	little bit about some of our SSI termination
2	enhancements. All of our SSIR terminations
3	were paused as of February 16th, 2024.
4	Members will continue to receive SSI Medicaid
5	while the terminations are on hold. We're
6	shifting our approach to members losing
7	eligibility, and it's going to be a
8	two-phased approach.
9	So Phase 1 beginning April 26th, 2024,
10	our prepopulated Medicaid renewal forms will
11	be sent. The member will be given two months
12	of ex parte eligibility, expanding their
13	eligibility period to other termination
14	codes. So, normally, they would only get
15	ex parte for specific termination codes.
16	We're extending that to most all codes unless
17	it's something like the member is deceased,
18	or they're now an out-of-state resident.
19	Redetermination will be completed based
20	on submitted information, and Notice of
21	Eligibility will be sent. The member if
22	they don't respond by the 15th of the second
23	month, they will be terminated, and
24	reapplication in self-service portal will be

permitted in the Phase 1 approach.

Processing of eligibility based on verified information and returned renewal packet will follow normal renewal procedures and adverse action rules, potentially terminating the member before the end of their ex parte period. We're also going to add a new sales force nudge campaign for these terminations.

And just as a note at the bottom, our SSI members whose terminations were paused will be sent the prepopulated form sometime after April 26th to complete that redetermination process.

On this next slide, this is just an example of some of the new notices that are This first one is going to be the front page of the renewal packet, you know, saying we need information from you. This is just the first page and then it would be the renewal form for the rest of the pages.

This second renewal is just the reminder that's going to go out if we haven't received their renewal and -- just as a reminder that we've sent them a renewal packet and their due date, or else their benefits may

1	terminate.
2	Any questions about the SSI changes?
3	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Yeah. Thank you
4	for this update, Jiordan
5	MS. GRIFFIN: Sure.
6	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: and for all
7	the work that you've been doing on this
8	issue.
9	Could you go back to the previous slide?
10	So just to make sure I understand these two
11	phases, right now, the terminations are on
12	hold. Is that what will go back into place
13	on July 19th? Terminations in Phase 2 will
14	be active again?
15	MS. GRIFFIN: I'm not sure if we've
16	set a specific date for the terminations to
17	go back into play, but I'm assuming it's
18	going to be whenever we start the
19	prepopulated renewal form.
20	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Okay. When I
21	read "will follow normal renewal procedures,"
22	I thought that meant, you know, kind of back
23	to normal, but maybe that's not what you
24	intended there.
25	MS. GRIFFIN: It could be that they

1	mean to do that. I'll have to double-check
2	with our systems people, but that makes
3	sense, that it would be July 19th if that's
4	when we're implementing Phase 2.
5	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: But for now,
6	terminations are on hold
7	MS. GRIFFIN: Correct.
8	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: and you're
9	giving people more time to complete the
10	renewal packet. And moving forward,
11	everyone this is ongoing. Everyone will
12	get a renewal packet before they ever receive
13	a termination notice; is that right?
14	MS. GRIFFIN: They will get a
15	yes. So if they get a renewal packet I
16	think the only reason we wouldn't send one
17	again is if we've gotten notification that
18	they're deceased
19	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Right.
20	MS. GRIFFIN: or if they've
21	moved out of state. Actually, we may still
22	send one for out of state because they
23	could it could be something systems
24	related. If we received information from our
25	systems, we need to give them the opportunity
	8

1 to -- to rebut that if it's not accurate. So -- but probably just for the ones who 2 3 we get notification that they're deceased, we 4 would not send the renewal packet. 5 everyone else, prior to termination, they should get the opportunity to return the 6 7 renewal packet and have their eligibility 8 redetermined on all bases. 9 CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Okay. That's 10 really helpful. 11 And then one question that I've had --12 and I know you've been doing a lot of work in 13 this area, and you even helped recently with 14 a case that had come to us. It was a child 15 with SSI that was terminated, and the rest of 16 the family -- or at least that child's 17 siblings were Medicaid eligible or KCHIP 18 But the child got a discontinuance eligible. 19 notice before, you know, any household information was used to determine 20 21 eligibility. 22 In those cases, I realize that with SSI, 23 you all get a file from, you know, the Social 24 Security Administration. And you use that to determine eligibility, and it is a separate 25

1	process. But when when you are aware of
2	someone living in a household with others and
3	you have all of their household information,
4	is there any way to link that together so
5	that in those cases like, for this
6	particular child, you know, all of their
7	other household information could have been
8	used to determine their eligibility before it
9	went to discontinuance?
10	MS. GRIFFIN: I think the issue is
11	that the member has to re-request benefits in
12	their case. So, like, in that situation, the
13	mother is the head of household. They have
14	their children on the case. They would have
15	to reach out to us and request that their
16	benefits be re-established in their separate
17	Medicaid case.
18	I'm not sure systematically if linking
19	that way is necessarily possible, but they
20	are linked by a specific individual ID. And
21	so any information we have for one case is
22	stored for that member for if they're in
23	another case.
24	So that's something I can take to our
25	systems people and see if there's any any

1	way to do that automatically.
2	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Yeah. I
3	would I would appreciate you all looking
4	into it. I feel like, you know, there are
5	certainly the cases in which we've
6	discussed this on previous calls where you
7	don't have all the information necessary to
8	determine eligibility. And that's when a
9	renewal packet is, you know, the right next
10	step.
11	But in this case, technically, you did
12	have access to all that information. It just
13	wasn't necessarily in the same you know,
14	it wasn't in the SSI part of the system. But
15	if there's a way to link it and to first go
16	to the household information on file, you
17	know, that could really reduce administrative
18	work, of course, but also any unnecessary
19	churn or requests of the family.
20	MS. GRIFFIN: Sure. Absolutely.
21	Yeah. That's something I'll take back and
22	see if that's something that's possible.
23	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Yeah. I
24	appreciate that.
25	MS. MANNINO: Could I ask you to go
	11

1	back to the second slide?
2	MS. GRIFFIN: Sure.
3	MS. MANNINO: Current enrollments.
4	I just want to be sure I understand this. So
5	only 172,097 are on traditional Medicaid
6	right now?
7	MS. GRIFFIN: That's correct. Yes.
8	MS. MANNINO: It seems like a very
9	low number.
10	MS. GRIFFIN: The majority of our
11	individuals are subject to managed care.
12	Normally, they're only exempt managed care if
13	they are receiving long-term supports or if
14	they fit into, like, the emergency
15	time-limited Medicaid category. So this is
16	kind of our usual. We hang around this
17	number.
18	MS. MANNINO: So that was the same
19	number during COVID with the expanded
20	Medicaid?
21	MS. GRIFFIN: Are you which one
22	are you talking about? Are you talking about
23	the same number for the managed care?
24	MS. MANNINO: The traditional
25	Medicaid number.
	12

1	MS. GRIFFIN: It varies. I think
2	last month, it was around a hundred and I
3	don't know. I can't remember what it it
4	varies month to month depending on people's
5	circumstances and who we have and what kind
6	of services they need to receive.
7	MS. MANNINO: So
8	MS. GRIFFIN: I can't tell you I
9	don't have the numbers up to compare to COVID
10	numbers at the moment, so I can't tell you
11	exactly what they were during COVID.
12	MS. MANNINO: So this two months'
13	extended eligibility should help several
14	other people numbers to go up on the to
15	actually increase those traditional Medicaid
16	numbers?
17	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: You're thinking
18	about people with SSI and who have the two
19	months of ex parte coverage, Brenda?
20	MS. MANNINO: Yeah.
21	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Is that what
22	you're thinking about? Yeah.
23	MS. GRIFFIN: Well, SSI is tricky
24	because it can be both managed care or
25	fee-for-service. So, again, it just depends
	12

1	on that individual's circumstances, whether
2	or not they're going to fit into the
3	traditional Medicaid bucket or managed care.
4	MS. MANNINO: I see. That's what's
5	confusing.
6	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Generally
7	speaking and you mentioned long-term care
8	supports, Jiordan. Waivers the 1915C home
9	and community-based waivers are generally
10	traditional Medicaid as well; is that right?
11	MS. GRIFFIN: Yes. Absolutely,
12	yeah.
13	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: And then people
14	in long-term care, nursing homes, that kind
15	of thing.
16	MS. GRIFFIN: They would be.
17	And I also wanted to mention that we do
18	have a specific notice going out to
19	individuals who have their SSI terminated
20	regarding the needs to get a disability
21	determination to keep the correct type of
22	Medicaid for waiver services. I just don't
23	have it posted in this PowerPoint. But we do
24	have a notice written out that's going to go
25	with the SSI renewal packet to let them know

1	of that process, so they can be aware ahead
2	of time and get it started, if needed.
3	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Yeah. That's
4	going to be helpful.
5	MS. MANNINO: Thank you.
6	MS. GRIFFIN: Yeah. Absolutely.
7	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Something else
8	that came to mind when you asked that
9	question, Brenda. DMS has a really nice page
10	on their website that it's basically
11	called statistics or data, and you can go
12	there and look at every month, you know, the
13	number of people enrolled in these different
14	categories.
15	And if somebody at DMS can put that link
16	in the chat. I know the website was
17	reorganized recently, so some of the links
18	that I have may have changed. But I go there
19	often to look at, you know, what the
20	enrollment numbers look like, and you can go
21	back to, you know, the pandemic era reports
22	to even see what those numbers looked like
23	then.
24	MS. MANNINO: Okay. Thank you.
25	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Yeah.
	15

1	MS. GRIFFIN: Just put it in chat
2	for you.
3	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Thanks, Jiordan.
4	MS. GRIFFIN: You're very welcome.
5	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Any other
6	questions related to our enrollment numbers
7	or SSI?
8	(No response.)
9	MS. GRIFFIN: All right. Hearing
10	none, we'll move on to our APTC renewal
11	process enhancements. This is another
12	project we've been working on. I'll move
13	that out of the way.
14	So some Medicaid members with renewals
15	from May 2023 to January 2024 cascaded into
16	APTC eligibility and were sent Notice of
17	Eligibility that their Medicaid was
18	terminated. Members who did not enroll in a
19	Qualified Health Plan or return to Medicaid
20	since their termination will be reinstated to
21	traditional Medicaid (fee-for-service) back
22	to their termination date. They will be sent
23	a renewal form to complete a second renewal
24	to maintain eligibility. And these renewals
25	will be split between May and June of this

year.

For members who did end up -- they lost Medicaid and then got reenrolled into Medicaid later but they have kind of a gap of maybe a few months in between, they will be reinstated to traditional Medicaid to fill any gap between their original termination date and their re-enrollment date. And they do not have to complete another renewal since they've already been determined eligible through their re-enrollment.

And with this, we have a couple of notices. So we have two different notices for two different populations. So we have the individuals who are going to be subject to a renewal, to see if they can be determined eligible for ongoing Medicaid in addition to their reinstatement.

And then the second notice on the right-hand side, notice for members who are not subject to the second renewal, just letting them know that we've reinstated a period of time retroactively from where they transitioned from Medicaid to APTC.

Anybody have questions about this APTC

1	renewal process?
2	MS. BROWN: When did these
3	notices already go out, or when were they
4	when are they doing to?
5	MS. GRIFFIN: So they have gone
6	out. I actually talked to a member who
7	received one of these notices already. And
8	she was like, oh, my gosh. I got my Medicaid
9	back. I was like, yeah. So I didn't realize
10	that anybody was going to already be calling
11	and asking questions, but they are. So these
12	have already gone out.
13	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: I'm glad to hear
14	that people are getting the notices and
15	calling.
16	MS. GRIFFIN: Yes.
17	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: This is I
18	don't think you mentioned it, Jiordan, just
19	now. But I think in a previous maybe email
20	that we got, it sounds like 29,000 people are
21	receiving these notices. So it's also good
22	for us just as advocates and, you know,
23	anybody working kind of direct services to
24	let people know to be on the lookout if they
25	lost their Medicaid coverage and had some

1 question about their eligibility. But we definitely want people to respond 2 3 to that and take advantage of the opportunity 4 to get their coverage reinstated. 5 some individuals, I think they probably assume they're no longer eligible, and so 6 7 they'll need some encouragement to know that 8 maybe the notice you received a few months 9 ago may not have had -- they didn't have all 10 the information that they needed to make that 11 determination. And you should try again. 12 MS. GRIFFIN: Yeah. It never hurts 13 to just return the form and see what happens. 14 It's not going to -- you know, there's not 15 going to be anything adverse that happens. 16 It's just all -- you know, we need to make 17 sure that we -- that you were terminated 18 correctly and that you're not still eligible. 19 So that's kind of what we're doing as a 20 mitigation to that. 21 CHAIR BEAUREGARD: And, Miranda, I 22 think you had a couple of these cases where 23 people got the notice that they were eligible 24 for a QHP without having gotten the RFP -- or 25 an RFP in there with the RFI.

1	MS. BROWN: Yes. So I've already
2	seen one person who had her coverage
3	reinstated. The question I have is, I
4	understand that these notices are not visible
5	in Kynect; right? So how are you somehow
6	notifying connectors of which clients they
7	have that may be affected?
8	MR. VERRY: I can answer that.
9	MS. GRIFFIN: Yeah. Go ahead,
10	David.
11	MR. VERRY: Yeah. We have a data
12	pull that's on the way. And once we get
13	those, that information will go out hopefully
14	for next week. It could be this week.
15	MS. BROWN: Thank you.
16	MR. VERRY: Yeah.
17	MS. GRIFFIN: All right. Any other
18	questions related to this?
19	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Anyone have
20	other questions? I think we can move on,
21	Jiordan.
22	MS. GRIFFIN: Okay. So next we
23	have our unwinding report updates. These are
24	based on our monthly reporting to CMS. This
25	just kind of gives a breakdown month by
	20

1 This is -- over here on the left is month. our -- what we originally reported. And then 2 3 on the right, we've recently been required to submit updated monthly reports showing the 4 5 outcome of any pending cases after the 90-day reconsideration period. 6 7 So the one on -- the table on the left 8 shows what we originally reported. The table 9 on the right is showing the outcome of those 10 pending renewals. So if you see -- like, for 11 December, we had two pending renewals. And 12 then after the 90 days, they're no longer pending. 13 So that's just kind of an overview 14 of the unwinding so far. 15 And then on the next slide, we have our 16 current renewals. So for January, February, 17 and March, individuals procedurally 18 terminated on their renewal due date are 19 given 90 days to respond and provide 20 requested information. If they are 21 determined eligible, coverage is reinstated 22 back to their termination date. Months that 23 are still within the 90-day window and are 24 still processing are included below. 25 So you'll see in March, we had 97,962

1	individual renewals. 70,000 of those were
2	approved. 6,000 were terminated. We had 72
3	pending. Almost 20,000 were extended out to
4	a later due date and then we've had 432
5	individuals reinstated as of the end of
6	March.
7	Any questions about these numbers?
8	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: I don't have
9	any.
10	MS. MANNINO: No.
11	MS. GRIFFIN: All right. And then
12	just as a note down here, March and April
13	renewals are still in process. We don't have
14	the figures yet for April.
15	Here's some helpful links to our PHE
16	unwinding website where we publish all of
17	these numbers and any updates related to
18	unwinding. We have our on or our ongoing
19	stakeholder meetings. They're every third
20	Tuesday at 11:00 and then we have several
21	reports that are also posted there.
22	Any questions about anything?
23	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: No. That's all
24	really good information to have. Thank you.
25	And I like the slide deck that you're
	22

1	sharing.
2	MS. GRIFFIN: Well, I can't take
3	full credit for it. I can't take full credit
4	for that, but it is very nice.
5	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Some of it is
6	probably doing double duty with your
7	stakeholder updates.
8	MS. GRIFFIN: It is.
9	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: But it's nice to
10	have the numbers in front of us. So thank
11	you for that.
12	MS. GRIFFIN: You're very welcome.
13	Thank you all.
14	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Erin, would
15	it looks like you were just pulling up the
16	agenda again. That's what I was going to
17	ask. Thank you. Oh, it went away.
18	MS. BICKERS: It's thinking about
19	it. There we go.
20	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: I see it now.
21	So we covered a number of those initial
22	items there, and I think that you probably
23	even covered a few more things than we had
24	under one and two, or A and B.
25	But the next item that we have here yet
	23

1	to cover is the HCBS rate study and the PDS
2	rate increase. Is Pam on?
3	MS. SMITH: I'm here, yeah. I'm
4	sorry. My headset was doing some it kept
5	turning mute on and off.
6	Do you want me, Emily, to go back first
7	and talk about the people the number of
8	people on that are getting waivers that
9	No. 2 under A that are getting waiver
10	services and the wait list?
11	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Yeah. That
12	would be great. And, also, if you want to
13	go in in whatever order makes sense to
14	you. And I know we have a number of
15	different items
16	MS. SMITH: Okay. I can I'll
17	pick through.
18	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: on the agenda
19	that you probably are going to report on.
20	MS. SMITH: Yeah. I'll pick
21	through all of my things and then we can
22	circle back.
23	So right now or as of I should say.
24	It was as of the 31st of March, there are
25	30,981 individuals receiving services in
	24

1	across the six waivers. And, you know,
2	Jiordan made it really hard to follow with
3	that awesome PowerPoint, so I will I will
4	get you all these numbers out in written form
5	so that you all don't have to try to remember
6	what I'm saying. But so 30,981
7	individuals receiving waiver services.
8	We do have four waivers that currently
9	have a wait list. ABI long-term care, there
10	are six individuals on that wait list. Three
11	of them are currently receiving services in
12	another waiver.
13	For SCL, there are 3,479 on the wait
14	list. None in the emergent category or in
15	the emergency category, 70 in the urgent
16	category. 2,069 of those individuals are
17	receiving services right now.
18	For Michelle P waiver, there are 9,056
19	individuals on the wait list. 2,511 are
20	currently receiving services in another
21	waiver.
22	And our home and community-based waiver,
23	there are now 1,979 individuals on that
24	waiting list, and none of those individuals
25	are receiving services in another waiver.

CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Speaking of the waiting list, there's some good news from the legislative session, which is that a lot of new HCBS waiver slots were funded. And I know it's probably too soon to say that you have, like, a full plan to, you know, fill those slots, but I was curious to know if there's anything you can share today.

MS. SMITH: So I can stay step one -- and this is regardless of, you know -- of waiver or, you know, how the new slots are given, is that we will have to do waiver amendments to get the -- CMS the corresponding federal funding.

The good news is that as part of doing the implementing -- you know, the full rate study methodology as well as, you know, other things that we've been -- you know, that we are changing as far as redesign is that we're going to be doing another waiver amendment very soon because we'll have to do that to implement the -- you know, to implement the permanent rates that are going to be based out of the rate study. So it is on our radar to do that sooner versus later so that we can

1 get those slots. 2 The other thing with HCB is we're -- you 3 know, it will -- well, with all of the waivers, we, you know, are reallocating the 4 5 slots now when individuals unfortunately pass away, so they -- they lose the slot. 6 7 been allocating those. We do have -- much like we do in SCL 8 9 where we reserve slots for emergencies for 10 both Michelle P and HCB, there are some 11 available slots right now. I don't have 12 those numbers right in front of me, but we use -- we retain those for an HCB for 13 14 individuals that have lost their slot for no 15 fault of their own. 16 For example, they may have lost SSI, so 17 we don't want them -- so they've lost their 18 Medicaid eligibility, and they're working to 19 get that back. We don't want them to have to 20 go on the wait list because of that, so we 21 retain a few slots. 22 So it's less than 50 that we retain for 23 that reason so that we have those slots that 24 we can give to those individuals that are --

25

are waiting because -- and they're working

own. Or, say, for example, they you know they fell, and they broke a hip. And they were out of services for a period of time, you know, longer than a normal period of time. We want to make sure that they're at to return to services.	
they fell, and they broke a hip. And they were out of services for a period of time, you know, longer than a normal period of time. We want to make sure that they're about	
were out of services for a period of time, you know, longer than a normal period of time. We want to make sure that they're at	ole
you know, longer than a normal period of time. We want to make sure that they're at	ole
7 time. We want to make sure that they're ab	ole
, and the second se	ole
8 to return to services.	
•	
9 And then Michelle P so BHDID who	
administers that waiver for us, they are	
11 actually allocating slots every month.	
They've been allocating a number of slots,	
and they still are continuing to do that wi	th
14 Michelle P individuals.	
15 It's just it's a very interesting	
thing, to have that many individuals on the)
wait list. Each time we allocate slots, or	ıly
about 50 percent of them end up getting use	d.
So we either can't find the individual, or	
they say, you know what, I don't want that.	
I don't know why I signed up for it.	
And so we end up then you know,	
we those go back in that you know, go)
back in that available bucket, and we	
reallocate them again. So but it's a	

1	pretty consistent phenomenon, that 50 percent
2	of the slots that we allocate on Michelle P,
3	each time, we end up putting back in the
4	bucket to allocate again.
5	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Yeah. That
6	that's a high number.
7	MS. SMITH: So
8	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: One other part
9	of that budget language I was going to ask
10	you about, Pam and I didn't follow this as
11	closely as some people, so it may have been
12	fixed by the end of the session. But there
13	was some language that was requiring DMS to
14	do some sort of assessment of the waiting
15	list. Can you tell us how that's
16	MS. SMITH: I will be fully
17	transparent in that I have not seen all of
18	the final language. And so we will be you
19	know, we'll have to respond to that, but I am
20	not prepared to give any kind of you know,
21	to discuss that or give any kind of response
22	to it.
23	We did you know, we did review all of
24	that as well. And, you know, in addition to
25	what is in the budget, you know, we're also
	29

1	following the access rule that with CMS
2	because there's a lot of language around wait
3	lists in the access rule and things that we
4	will have to do to be compliant with that.
5	So we are also watching that with CMS and
6	when that becomes final and what the orig
7	what the language will be in that.
8	But there is a lot of there's a lot
9	of language about waiting lists versus
10	interest lists and reporting that you have to
11	do both federally and that you have to make,
12	you know, available to the public. So I
13	think a lot coming on the topic of wait
14	lists.
15	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Yeah. I was
16	going to say, our next in two months, when
17	we have our next meeting, that might be a
18	good time to do more of an update on
19	MS. SMITH: Right. We can have
20	more we likely will have a whole lot more
21	information at that point in time.
22	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: All right.
23	Thank you.
24	MS. SMITH: Okay. So the rate
25	study and the PDS rate increase. So rate
	30

1 study, we -- I can tell you are looking at -you know, now that there was funding that was 2 3 allocated for that. So it is in, I believe, 4 final review processes with people who are 5 way more important than me. So I don't have much of an update other than it is really --6 7 you know, now, at this point, it's being 8 looked at. 9 We also have to consider the 10 implementation of the rates. In addition to, 11 you know, the 20 percent increase that was 12 done through the ARPA spending plan, what we 13 can change while we are still spending 14 through that money. So there's a lot of 15 moving parts with implementing the final 16 rates. In addition to -- we'll have to, of 17 course, update the waivers again, which means 18 they also will go back out for public comment 19 when all of that happens. 20 So lots more communication to come -- to 21 come on the rates and what that looks like 22 and how that's going to happen and, you know, 23 when the -- when it'll be out there for 24 public review. 25 The PDS rate increase. Does anyone

1	know I mean, that right at this point
2	in time, the PDS rates are the same as the
3	as the traditional rates. And PDS
4	individuals are able at any point in time to
5	request a change to their rates. That can be
6	any change.
7	You know, that's if I want to increase
8	my rates, or, you know, we have some
9	individuals who have really thought about
10	their plans and have realized that if they
11	pay a lower rate, they're able to get more
12	hours in HCB, for example.
13	So they've been able to use you know,
14	have more than that 45 hours because they pay
15	their employees. It's still a good you
16	know, a rate that's agreed upon between them,
17	but they're able to have more hours. But
18	it's truly up to the participant, and the
19	participant or with the help of a
20	representative, if they need the help to do
21	that, to manage their PDS program. They are
22	in the driver's seat for that plan of care
23	and any modifications.
24	So if you know of anyone that's having
25	trouble getting that done, just if they reach

1	out to us, let us know, and we will help.
2	Dale can as Dale administers those
3	services, they can work with the agencies and
4	work with the individual to find out what the
5	problem is.
6	MS. MANNINO: Could you remind me
7	what the PDS stands for?
8	MS. SMITH: Participant directed
9	services. So it is where the participant is
10	the actual employer for their services. So
11	instead of it being a traditional agency that
12	provides the employees, the participant
13	actually hires and manages their own
14	employees and then they're reimbursed or paid
15	through a financial management agency that is
16	a provider with Medicaid.
17	MS. MANNINO: Thank you.
18	MS. SMITH: You're welcome. I
19	forget, Brenda. We speak in alphabet soup
20	and so
21	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Pam, I was just
22	looking at the participant list to see if
23	Arthur had hopped on, and he hasn't.
24	MS. SMITH: Oh.
25	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: But I think he'd
	33

1	be the person to, you know, know how well
2	this is working or if it's not working for
3	anyone. But we can you know, we have had
4	this as a standing item so
5	MS. SMITH: Okay. And he knows
6	he's got my he has my email address and my
7	contact information, so he knows how to get
8	ahold of me.
9	Let me see. I'm going to jump down
10	before I do 1915(i), I'm going to talk about
11	a couple of the other things and then I'll
12	come back to 1915(i) so that, then, the other
13	behavioral health updates can follow that.
14	End of Appendix K for the HCBS waivers.
15	So all of our waivers, with the exception of
16	Model II which Model II was effective
17	actually, it was January or February. It was
18	earlier in the year that we got the approval
19	on Model II. The other five waivers, we
20	received our official CMS approval with an
21	effective date of May 1st.
22	The webinars. We recorded both a
23	participant and a provider webinar, and so
24	those decks and those webinars are out on our
25	website. And I'll get before we're done,

1 I will get the link to those and post it in 2 the chat -- that go through what continued, 3 so what's been made permanent from Appendix K versus the services that were -- that we 4 5 decided not to continue beyond April 30th. So we've been reaching out to case 6 7 So we've identified, for example, managers. 8 some of the people that were getting the 9 higher limit of home-delivered meals or 10 needed to change their home-delivered meal 11 provider from maybe an adult daycare to one 12 of the certified home-delivered meal 13 providers. 14 Or individuals that had been getting --15 maybe over time, we've had individuals reaching out to those case managers to talk 16 17 to them and work with them on getting those 18 plans of cares modified so that we didn't --19 you know, that didn't go down to the very 20 last minute of May 1st and there not be a 21 plan in place of, you know, what the change 22 was going to be to the plan of care. 23 So we've been answering -- had a few 24 good questions that we received from 25 individuals that have watched the webinar, a

1 few from individuals that we've redirected 2 that maybe they should watch the webinar or 3 listen to the webinar and -- but we, you 4 know, are here always to answer any questions 5 But we're very excited when we about that. received the approval from CMS on those 6 7 remaining five for that effective date of May 8 1st. 9 The most important thing, of course, 10 that I think most providers were looking at 11 that continued was the rates, so the rates 12 that are in play right now are the rates that will remain in effect until we implement the 13 14 rates that come out of the rate study. 15 The EVV provider change from Netsmart to 16 Therap. So communication is starting to go 17 out to the providers that are part of the 18 PCS, or personal care services, that are 19 using Netsmart right now. We have a meeting 20 with some providers that are going to be our 21 change champions. 22 So they are individuals that have been 23 with us from the very beginning, that have 24 used EVV from the very beginning and have

really worked -- worked closely with us.

they're going to help us to review, you know, training materials, to review communications, to maybe help us think of things that, you know, we sitting -- I always like to say, you know, I can sit behind the desk and think something sounds, oh, in theory, that's going to work great. But when you go out to put that in practice, you're like, you're crazy. That does not work the way you thought it was going to sitting behind your desk.

So, you know, really getting that on the boots -- the boots-on-the-ground perspective of how -- how things work and to make sure we get it right from the beginning. So lots of communication that is just beginning on that -- on that change.

The home health implementation we implemented the very end of December. It was mandatory as of January and has went very well with Therap. We've had some hiccups which, I think, is going to be expected in any implementation that you have, but we've been able to work through them very quickly, have had great provider adoption. So I'm excited to see how it goes with PCS but am

1	very positive about how it's going to go.
2	Again, people have problems. They have
3	questions. They know how to get ahold of us.
4	And we're you know, we'll work through all
5	of those. But I think very positive about
6	where we're going.
7	So I will before I go to SMI, any
8	or the 1915(i), any questions on either of
9	those?
10	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: I don't have
11	any. Brenda or Miranda, do you?
12	MS. MANNINO: No.
13	MS. SMITH: And I'll say EVV is
14	electronic visit verification so for
15	anybody that didn't know that acronym.
16	MS. BROWN: Electronic visit
17	verification. And what was the other acronym
18	you said? Was it PTS?
19	MS. SMITH: PCS. It's personal
20	care services.
21	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: I think that may
22	have been an update that Melanie wanted, so
23	it's good that we have a recording. She can
24	review that when she's got a chance.
25	MS. SMITH: Okay. And she knows
	38

1 how to get ahold of me, too, so if she has 2 any -- you can let her know if she has any 3 specific questions, just to reach out. 4 CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Okay. 5 MS. SMITH: Okay. So my last portion of updates before I'm going to turn 6 7 it over to Leslie. The 1915(i) for -- that's 8 select services for individuals with serious mental illness and some services for 9 10 substance use disorder, is we had planned or 11 had hoped that we already would have been 12 able to submit to CMS. We ran into a few 13 roadblocks. We received a ton of public 14 comments right at the very end. So it's 15 taken us a little bit longer to get through 16 reviewing all of the public comments and 17 getting the responses out. 18 We are targeted to have that review done 19 by the end of this week, and we also are 20 meeting with CMS on Friday to discuss the 21 waiver with our new target date to submit the waiver to CMS by April the 30th. And I do 22 23 not see any barriers in us meeting -- meeting 24 that. 25 So public comment will -- responses to 39

1	public comment will be posted very soon. And
2	then after we meet with CMS on Friday,
3	barring them asking us to change anything or
4	them giving us any different guidance, our
5	target is by April 30th. So two weeks from
6	today that we will be submitting the 1915(i)
7	to CMS for their review.
8	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: All right.
9	Thanks. We'll look forward to seeing the
10	responses to the comments.
11	MS. HOFFMANN: Okay. And I'll go
12	on to the next one. And just you all
13	know, but I'm very excited and very proud of
14	everybody that's made all this happen. We've
15	got the 1915(i) State Plan Amendment and then
16	we have the companion SMI 1115 I'm sorry.
17	Sorry. Yes, sorry. SMI 1115. And so I just
18	wanted to give you an update about that.
19	We've got I've got too many going on
20	right now, Emily, and I was like: Is that
21	the right one?
22	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: I do the same
23	thing.
24	MS. HOFFMANN: Yeah. We sent it
25	in oh, and I was going to make just a
	40

1	reminder on D on your I'll just remind
2	everybody that the (i) is a State Plan
3	Amendment, and the C is not is a waiver,
4	but it's not a C. It's just 1115. Everybody
5	gets those confused. I just wanted to update
6	that. So it's 1915(i) and 1115.
7	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: You're right. I
8	put the C on there, and that's
9	MS. HOFFMANN: No. It's fine.
10	Most of the time, people still put the C, you
11	know, on the 15 because it's what we know;
12	right?
13	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: It's 1915C.
14	Yes. I know. See, it happens to us all.
15	MS. HOFFMANN: No. It's fine. We
16	had one the other day that somebody had done
17	something similar.
18	So the SMI 1115, we sent that in in May.
19	We did have some reach-out, and I think I may
20	have told you this maybe even on another
21	call. We did have reach-out from CMS to
22	start having conversations because we have
23	lots of things at CMS right now. The biggest
24	of what we have there is our largest
25	component or umbrella called Team Kentucky,

1 and it was time to extend that. 2 So instead of a renewal, they call it an 3 extension because it's a demonstration. 4 we have that with CMS and then you have all 5 these components off of it that we have sent in, and we're kind of waiting to get all 6 7 those approved along. 8 It's my understanding that CMS is really 9 trying to figure out how to streamline states 10 that have many of those, like, connecting 11 just like we do because during COVID, they 12 all kind of got behind. And they had over 50 13 at the end of last year to still review 14 before they were ready to talk to us. 15 CMS did ask us, as part of the 16 negotiation phase, to start completing an 17 assessment, the landscape of available 18 services in Kentucky and -- for providers for 19 mental health treatment. So that's kind of 20 what we're working on right now. You may 21 hear from us. It's not, like, this huge, big 22 endeavor. It's kind of like being proactive 23 for the implementation plan that's coming. 24 So remember, any time we do an 1115, it 25 also includes an implementation plan.

1	even if CMS approves our 1115, then starts
2	the clock. I think they give us, like, 90
3	days to complete an implementation plan and
4	then they have a time frame that they can
5	complete that one.
6	So, unfortunately, the last one, which
7	was SUD, which I don't figure that this would
8	take this long, took almost a year to get
9	that one approved from CMS, but I'm hoping
10	that this one will be quite a bit quicker.
11	We actually anticipate that we will have
12	an approval any way, shape, or form, or
13	whatever we have to do, by third quarter of
14	the calendar year, so what is that? July
15	yeah, July through September-ish. So I'm
16	thinking maybe like, the latest would be
17	September, but I hope to have it before then.
18	So, again, these are companions. We're
19	very excited about it. Both the (i) and the
20	1115 make reference to each other as
21	companions, so CMS is aware of that.
22	And did you have other things for me?
23	Let me see.
24	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: I think the
25	housing meetings may be something that you're
	43

1	familiar with
2	MS. HOFFMANN: Oh. Was that old or
3	new?
4	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: but that may
5	also be Angie. That's an item that we've had
6	on the agenda before, and Melanie had
7	requested that we keep it on the agenda just
8	for any updates that you may have.
9	MS. HOFFMANN: Okay. So if it's
10	the housing meeting that the Cabinet and DMS
11	has with KHC, we actually those all
12	started based on we had participated in a
13	federal collaborative, but it was very much
14	about SUD in housing. So we realized that we
15	needed to have a larger scope so then we
16	started reaching out to our partners. About
17	the same time, KHC had reached out to the
18	secretary's office.
19	So we started what we call a housing and
20	health collaborative, and so we've continued
21	that. That's been going on probably for the
22	last couple of years. So we continue to
23	partner with them on housing initiatives and
24	opportunities across Kentucky.
25	I thought maybe this might be you
	44

might have recently seen a NOFO opportunity that KHC has applied for, and we supported them as a Cabinet. So we got support -- we sent in support letters to support them on this opportunity as well.

The collaborative's focus really started out more about the housing supports, the homeless supports, and the 1915(i) that Pam just spoke about. Because, originally, we thought that was going to be in the 1115 and then, based on all the pieces that we need, we had to end up writing an (i) and an 1115. And I know that's all very confusing.

Upcoming discussions with KHC. We're trying to figure out how to align our systems better. And I don't know if you are aware, but we -- DMS for the first time ever is an approved HMIS, which is from KHC, a Homeless Management Information System user. So we've never had that before, so we can now match up data.

Now, mind you, all homeless folks are not in the system, but we're at least able to start connecting our folks with those folks that are homeless and try to pair them up.

1	So that's been a very positive thing for us.
2	And I think I've mentioned this actually
3	all started from the original collaborative,
4	so I don't know how much you knew about the
5	housing. I thought maybe folks might have
6	seen the recent NOFO that we were partnering
7	with them on.
8	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: No. But I
9	don't recall it. Of course, it may be that
10	it's come up, but I appreciate you sharing
11	that information. So you're calling it a
12	housing and health collaborative?
13	MS. HOFFMANN: That is correct.
14	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: And you're
15	looking for new partners to participate?
16	MS. HOFFMANN: So this actually
17	just started specifically with them trying to
18	figure out how we could partner on some
19	initiatives, and that's what we had worked on
20	for the (i). We ended up placing those
21	things in the (i), the 1915(i).
22	So there's a homeless there's a
23	social determinants of health component
24	within the (i) that talk about, you know,
25	like, employment and education and housing
	46

1	so
2	And it covers more than just SMI. So
3	when Pam mentions that there's additional
4	supports other than SMI, it includes
5	additional folks of eligibility populations.
6	MS. BROWN: And, Leslie, what is a
7	NOFO?
8	MS. HOFFMANN: A notice of
9	opportunity, like, for funding. Sorry.
10	That's probably not the exact but it's a
11	Notice of Funding Opportunity. How about
12	that?
13	So there was a governmental not us.
14	There was a governmental opportunity that
15	housing wanted to apply for, KHC wanted to
16	apply for. And so they asked us to support
17	them in the endeavor. And we got, like,
18	letters of support for them and sent that in
19	with their application. I don't think
20	they've heard back from it yet, but I just
21	wanted to share that with you all.
22	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: That is a health
23	and housing related notice?
24	MS. HOFFMANN: Yes.
25	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Opportunity.
	47

1	Okay. Good.
2	MS. HOFFMANN: They had I think
3	they put out something publicly that they
4	were partnering with us, and so folks have
5	been asking me about it.
6	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Okay. Yeah.
7	That's helpful. And, you know, all of this
8	work to really kind of align the needs of
9	people who obviously, the healthcare
10	needs, but there's a housing-related need
11	there as well, I think, is so important.
12	And all of that kind of the new
13	opportunities that we've discussed on some of
14	these previous calls related to you know,
15	I think they're calling it health-related
16	social needs.
17	MS. HOFFMANN: Yeah.
18	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: And you
19	mentioned social determinants of health.
20	MS. HOFFMANN: Same thing.
21	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: They're very
22	closely related but looking at how we can use
23	more Medicaid funding to support some of
24	those needs.
25	MS. HOFFMANN: And being able to
	48

1	query reports on the homeless population and
2	trying to figure out what groups that we have
3	out there that are homeless, how much
4	percentage may be in the SMI population, how
5	many might be in the SUD, how many may be
6	co-occurring. Those kinds of things have
7	been extremely important for to leverage
8	that information for services that we're
9	requesting from CMS.
10	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Any other
11	questions related to that?
12	(No response.)
13	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Leslie, are
14	there other items that you were planning on
15	presenting on?
16	MS. HOFFMANN: I think Angie is
17	actually going to speak later in new you
18	had some new if you have any questions
19	related to new business on the SDoH screening
20	referral and leveraging social determinants
21	of health. She may be speaking later, Angie
22	Parker.
23	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Okay. Great.
24	MS. HOFFMANN: We did oh, one
25	other thing, Emily. We did start if you
	49

1	want to you might want to know this. We
2	are getting ready to start our kickoff of
3	ACCRES for the advisory group for our
4	reentry population, so that's very exciting.
5	I think I believe your name is
6	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Tomorrow; right?
7	MS. HOFFMANN: Yeah. So I'll see
8	you then.
9	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Yeah. I've got
10	it on my calendar.
11	MS. HOFFMANN: I'm so excited about
12	that. Like, it's finally happening.
13	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: I'm looking
14	forward to it. Yeah. No. I think that's
15	great. And, of course, we're still
16	anticipating the approval of the waiver but
17	glad that you're getting a head start on
18	things.
19	Let's see. I'm trying to make sure that
20	we're not I know we've kind of skipped
21	back and forth on some of these items, and I
22	want to make sure that we're covering it all.
23	The next item here, DMS surveys of
24	Medicaid members and stakeholders that we
25	need to cover. Now, I did see an email I
	50

1	think it was just earlier today with the
2	stakeholder survey. So that's gone out to at
3	least some list serves that you all have, and
4	I was excited to see that.
5	Is there any other update that you all
6	can share about the member survey?
7	MS. FISHER: I can share a brief
8	update, I mean, basically what you said,
9	Emily. Oh, hi. This is Beth Fisher. I'm
10	the communications staff assistant for the
11	department.
12	And, yes, to confirm, some surveys went
13	out yesterday and this morning to Medicaid
14	members who had completed that request for
15	information seeking their feedback related to
16	their return of member renewals and their
17	experience and also members that did not
18	complete an RFI and were terminated.
19	So far, we're getting a pretty good
20	response. We'll continue to promote those
21	throughout the month and keep reminding our
22	members to respond to that survey. But so
23	far, we're pretty happy with the response
24	we're getting.
25	And another survey went out to
	51

1	stakeholders, so a lot of our providers and
2	advocacy community received that one today
3	also seeking input related to member renewals
4	and the unwinding process.
5	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Yeah. That's
6	great. Now, with these surveys, I'm assuming
7	that they are not like, they're not
8	specifically being sent to somebody with a
9	unique link in which, you know, only that
10	individual can click on the link and respond.
11	Is it one link that can be shared far and
12	wide?
13	MS. FISHER: That is correct. It's
14	one link. And so for the stakeholder survey,
15	we will share that with other groups and ask
16	that our partners share that message out as
17	well.
18	For the member survey, DMS is sharing
19	that directly with our members, so it doesn't
20	go to people who you know, to avoid
21	getting feedback from anyone who may not be a
22	Medicaid member who just, you know, wants to
23	respond to the survey for whatever reason.
24	But the stakeholder survey we'll promote
25	through other channels and on our social
	52

1 media as well. 2 CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Yeah. And I do 3 understand not wanting to spread the member survey far and wide on the one hand. At the 4 5 same time, I feel like not every Medicaid -well, I would assume that DMS doesn't have 6 7 good contact information, whether that -- I 8 guess it's primarily an email address or a 9 cell phone number that you're sending --10 you're texting the survey to. And in those 11 cases, you'd be kind of missing out on people 12 who would otherwise take the survey. 13 So are you thinking about social media 14 or some other way of getting, you know, the 15 information to them? 16 MS. FISHER: Yes. We will use 17 social media, and so the message on socials 18 will be a little bit different targeting our 19 members. It will be more of an, hey, you're 20 going to receive an email and text message 21 seeking member input for this survey kind of 22 message, and it won't actually have that link in it. 23 24 But yes, we do see a need to definitely 25 raise awareness that when people receive 53

1	these emails, that it's a legit survey and
2	please don't ignore it kind of message. But
3	yeah, I know. I understand the concern
4	about you know, people's email addresses
5	change frequently. Their phone numbers
6	change frequently. And the information we
7	have on record may not be the member's
8	contact that they're using now. It is the
9	contact they provided to us for the renewal
10	process. So hopefully we'll get a good
11	survey response.
12	But yeah, we do just really see the need
13	to make sure that you know, we want to
14	avoid getting survey the member survey
15	responses from people who aren't members.
16	That's really the main concern there and why
17	we're doing it that way.
18	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: And can you
19	remind me if this is also going out in
20	Spanish, to Spanish-speaking members?
21	MS. FISHER: That is on the
22	yeah. This is a goal. We don't have the
23	Spanish version ready yet. It hasn't gone
24	out yet.
25	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Okay.
	54

1	All right. Well, if you could update us when
2	that's happened, that would be good. And
3	does anybody have any questions about that?
4	Hopefully, Miranda and Brenda, you've
5	received at least a stakeholder survey.
6	MS. BROWN: I'm going to be honest,
7	I've been on sabbatical and haven't checked
8	my email.
9	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: That's a good
10	reason not to check your email.
11	We'll be sharing the stakeholder survey
12	from KBH definitely far and wide, so I'm
13	looking forward to seeing what kind of
14	response you all get.
15	MS. FISHER: Thank you. We are,
16	too, very excited. Or maybe excited is not
17	the right word but just very very
18	interested to hear what our members have to
19	say and to, you know, just open up this line
20	of communication.
21	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Yeah. This is
22	the first I remember of DMS doing something
23	like this, and I appreciate that.
24	Anything else about that before I move
25	on?
	55

1	(No response.)
2	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: The next item
3	here is network adequacy issue reporting
4	process and reviewing the revised draft of
5	the Access to Services form. I will say, I
6	think, Erin, you sent it out maybe yesterday,
7	and it was the last day of the legislative
8	session. I have not had a chance to look at
9	it. I don't know if anybody else has, but I
10	was hoping that we could use today's you
11	know, the time on today's call to just pull
12	it up and review it together.
13	And then if we do need more time, of
14	course, we can we can take some more time
15	to pull together any thoughts and feedback.
16	But would you mind pulling that form up?
17	MS. PARKER: Do you want me to pull
18	it up?
19	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Yeah. Or, yeah,
20	Angie, if you could do it. Thank you.
21	MS. PARKER: Or, Erin, do you want
22	to pull it up?
23	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Whichever has it
24	handy.
25	MS. PARKER: Erin, if you can give
	56

1	me access, I've got it.
2	MS. BICKERS: Yeah. Give me just a
3	second. Thank you. I couldn't get myself
4	off mute.
5	MS. PARKER: Yes. I just sent this
6	to Erin yesterday so, you know, there's no
7	big problem that you haven't had a chance to
8	review it yet.
9	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: I was happy to
10	see it come through. I was just you know,
11	but it's been digging out of the last couple
12	of months.
13	MS. PARKER: Are you okay.
14	Let's see. All right. Are you seeing it?
15	Are you seeing my
16	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Yeah. We
17	can see it.
18	MS. PARKER: Okay.
19	MS. MANNINO: We can see it.
20	MS. PARKER: I don't like Zoom, or
21	maybe I'm just not used to it. This is Angie
22	Parker. I'm the Director of Quality and
23	Population Health, and I are you still
24	seeing it?
25	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: No. Now we just
	57

1	see a blue screen.
2	MS. MANNINO: It went away.
3	MS. PARKER: Okay. I'm going to
4	move it back to where it was and maybe you'll
5	see it.
6	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Yeah. If you
7	have two different screens, sometimes it gets
8	kind of wonky.
9	MS. PARKER: Well, I'm wonky
10	apparently. I'm going to stop sharing and
11	then try it again.
12	MS. BICKERS: Angie, I pulled it up
13	if you continue to have a hard time.
14	MS. PARKER: Okay. Now are we up?
15	Do you have it now?
16	MS. BROWN: Yes. I can see it.
17	MS. PARKER: Okay. So I did get
18	your look through your email and your
19	comments. Obviously, this is a work in
20	progress in trying to make it understandable
21	and what we're trying to do with this and how
22	we're going to do this.
23	Right now, it's you can use this
24	form, if you wanted to, to email it to us or
25	mail it to us. But, obviously, it's still in
	58

1	draft form. We do want to get it on our
2	website and have that capability to click and
3	click and fill it in and it go you know,
4	magic, it goes somewhere. But that is not in
5	place, and that'll take a little bit longer.
6	But this is I want to confirm because
7	I know it has been talked about problems with
8	having an in-network provider and having to
9	go to an out-of-network provider that a lot
10	of the members are having issues with. But I
11	wanted to confirm that this would be they
12	were unable to access any provider.
13	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Well, I think,
14	ideally, we want people to have enough
15	in-network providers that that's, you know,
16	the provider that they would go to. So I
17	think access an in-network provider is
18	correct in this particular case.
19	You know, there are times when if
20	there's not a provider in network, then we
21	would want the MCO to provide, you know, an
22	out-of-network provider.
23	MS. PARKER: We have you know,
24	it's twofold. We have a problem with them
25	getting into an in-network provider.

1	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Right.
2	MS. PARKER: Then there may be
3	other in-network providers. So we want to
4	know if they're a having problem getting into
5	their primary care as well, not just, you
6	know, being able to go see a specialist that
7	may or may not be
8	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Yes. I mean, so
9	provider is broad in that sense, that it
10	would cover, you know, primary care,
11	specialist, behavioral health
12	MS. PARKER: Right.
13	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: oral health,
14	you know, all of that. So I think that's the
15	right term unless, Miranda or Brenda, you
16	think that we should do something different
17	there. In-network provider is the goal here.
18	MS. BROWN: Yeah.
19	MS. PARKER: All right. The
20	department wants to make sure you receive
21	timely health care, and we did a little bit
22	more in depth on what that means as far as
23	urgent or nonurgent type appointments.
24	And, of course, if it's an emergency, we
25	don't take the time to fill this out and to
	60

1	either call 911 or 988. And, you know,
2	identifying that helps us resolve provider
3	network issues. And to assist, you may be
4	contacted by DMS or your managed care, and
5	it's important to complete the information
6	below as much as possible. I know go
7	ahead.
8	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: I think all of
9	that is good information. Before we go into
10	the form, you know, where you have the
11	parentheses, the in terms of the timely
12	standards, I think that's also a nice way to
13	kind of boil it down, to distill it.
14	I would, after that last parentheses,
15	say, and make sure you receive timely health
16	care in a reasonable distance from your home.
17	And then in parentheses put, you know, the
18	30-mile
19	MS. PARKER: Okay.
20	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: 30 minutes or
21	40 whatever it is in urban and rural
22	areas.
23	MS. PARKER: Okay.
24	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: And to maybe put
25	and a reasonable distance, or something like
	61

1	that, from your home.
2	MS. PARKER: I'll just highlight
3	this because I'll have to get all the
4	specific
5	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: But that's the
6	only thing I see missing from the top there.
7	I think, Miranda, your feedback on the
8	last call was to kind of get into what those
9	standards are. Do you think this is getting
10	at that?
11	MS. BROWN: Yeah. I thought, when
12	I read this, that it was just a lot clearer
13	as to what the member would use this for and
14	what they might get out of it if they submit
15	it, so I appreciated that.
16	I noticed that in the third sentence
17	from the end of the paragraph, you say,
18	"Completing this form will help DMS identify
19	resolve." I guess you mean identify and
20	resolve so
21	MS. PARKER: Yes. Thank you.
22	MS. BROWN: small typo, yeah.
23	MS. PARKER: I am not a good editor
24	of my own.
25	MS. BROWN: None of us are.
	62

1	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: And you actually
2	have got the piece that you have here
3	about identifying and helping to resolve any
4	network issues, I think, is really, really
5	helpful.
6	Hopefully, you know, once you start to
7	get some of these responses, you'll have a
8	better sense of, you know, how well we can do
9	that in terms of resolving network issues.
10	But it's good that people know that they
11	can you know, they can expect to hear from
12	DMS or the MCO.
13	MS. PARKER: Anything else you
14	think needs to be added to the top? I mean,
15	obviously, if you think about something after
16	this meeting but you can go ahead and
17	contact me.
18	MS. BROWN: No. My only other
19	comment is for the very middle of the form.
20	MS. PARKER: Which part?
21	MS. BROWN: So the last question in
22	Section 1: Was the MCO contacted first? I
23	feel like if I were a Medicaid member, I
24	might misinterpret that question, and so I
25	was just thinking of possible ways to
	63

1	rephrase it. Like, have you or your
2	healthcare provider already contacted your
3	MCO about this issue? I feel like clarifying
4	that it's about this specifically and
5	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Right. And that
6	the contact would primarily come from the
7	member, but I think you're right. Sometimes
8	the provider I think that's a good
9	recommendation.
10	MS. BROWN: Yeah. I put a
11	suggestion for how you might reword it but
12	MS. PARKER: That's fine. You can
13	email me.
14	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Now, on the
15	referral and appointment information where it
16	says "provider name," I think you know,
17	there are some people, they might not have a
18	particular they might be looking for a
19	provider, and they're kind of calling around
20	and trying to find somebody. They might not
21	have a particular provider, you know, that
22	they are trying to see.
23	Yeah, maybe if known. And then you have
24	type of provider you need to see, which I
25	think is really the critical part.

1	MS. PARKER: I was wondering
2	whether or not I needed to put heart doctor
3	instead of cardiologist, but I think most
4	people know what a cardiologist is. But I
5	will defer to you all.
6	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: I think
7	cardiologist is okay. And you put, you know,
8	et cetera, so hopefully people will fill out
9	whatever. If they write heart doctor, then
10	you all can interpret that.
11	MS. BROWN: I agree.
12	MS. PARKER: Basically, that's it.
13	I have this information down here. We'll
14	have a phone number as well.
15	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: I might have one
16	more box where you could just have an
17	open-ended, anything else kind of box.
18	Because it looks like with the appointment
19	date and times, the dates offered and
20	accepted, you're going to you're looking
21	for a specific entry. It's not going to be,
22	like, an open field where people can say they
23	didn't give me a date. They said they had
24	nothing.
25	So I have a feeling you're going to get
	65

1	people who want to say there was no
2	appointment available, and that's not going
3	to be capturable here.
4	MS. PARKER: Okay.
5	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Yeah. I think
6	that's good.
7	MS. PARKER: Might switch those
8	two.
9	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Yeah.
10	MS. PARKER: The appointment day,
11	yeah.
12	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: I would put the
13	additional comments after the accepted.
14	MS. PARKER: Yeah.
15	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: I know what
16	you're getting at there.
17	Angie, thank you for your work on this
18	form. And I think with these edits, it
19	should be you know, we can see how it
20	works. And maybe you know, if it seems
21	like there's something that isn't working, we
22	can revisit it and make, you know, more
23	revisions to it.
24	But I feel like we're getting close to
25	something that I would feel ready to put out.
	66

1	You know, I think you had said that this is
2	something that people could fill out, like,
3	PDF form, or it's going to be, like, a
4	fillable form online.
5	MS. PARKER: Yeah, hopefully at
6	some point. That's the ultimate that's
7	how we want it to be completed, but it may
8	take a little bit more time. That's a little
9	more technical for me to work on so and
10	get availability to do it.
11	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: I really I
12	really liked the when you were doing
13	presumptive eligibility, when DMS was doing
14	it directly, that form that people filled out
15	was really easy. If it could if it could
16	be similar to that, I think it would be
17	something that it would be easy for us to
18	share. It would be easy for people to
19	complete.
20	What do you think, Miranda? I know you
21	had experience with that form.
22	MS. BROWN: Yeah. Yeah. It was
23	super easy.
24	MS. PARKER: I will find out who
25	knows all about that one. I'll check on
	67

1	that. Thank you.
2	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Yeah. Anything
3	else related to that?
4	MS. PARKER: We're as far as
5	network adequacy, we are we just we
6	should be getting our first report from the
7	MCOs in May regarding providers who haven't
8	billed a claim in over a year and finding out
9	what's going on with those and if they're
10	truly, you know, on the rolls and available
11	for our Medicaid members. But more to come
12	on that.
13	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Yeah. That
14	would be interesting to see. I know you were
15	kind of mapping that out as well, and knowing
16	which providers are actually taking patients
17	is always helpful.
18	All right. Well, thank you. Looking
19	forward to seeing the completed form. Tell
20	us if there's more that you need from us, you
21	know, based on today's conversation.
22	It looks like our next item here is the
23	MAC and TAC orientation packet, and I know
24	that that was also something that was sent
25	out to us to review.

1	Kelli is Kelli, on?
2	MS. SHEETS: Yeah.
3	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: I know, Erin,
4	you you're on right now.
5	MS. SHEETS: I'm here, and I have
6	made all the changes, the suggestions that
7	you sent back the last time. You said that
8	the links weren't working for you, but
9	they
10	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Yeah.
11	MS. SHEETS: worked for me fine
12	so
13	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Okay. It may
14	have been yeah. I don't
15	MS. SHEETS: Sometimes you have to
16	hit the control button and then hover over
17	the link to
18	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Oh, that
19	doesn't that's not normally how my
20	PowerPoints work, and I wonder if that would
21	work for other people but
22	MS. SHEETS: Everybody that I've
23	tested it with in DMS said that the links
24	worked for them so
25	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: It's worked.
	69

1	Okay. Good.
2	MS. SHEETS: So, anyway, I just
3	wanted to tell you that I anticipate
4	leadership approving that as is with your
5	edits. I just haven't had a chance to talk
6	to Veronica about it.
7	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Okay.
8	MS. SHEETS: Hopefully, I will be
9	able to do that in the next few days and get
10	that out to everyone.
11	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Yeah. They were
12	pretty minor. I mean, what you had put
13	together
14	MS. SHEETS: Absolutely.
15	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: looked great,
16	so thank you for your work on it.
17	MS. SHEETS: Yeah, very, very
18	minor. No problem.
19	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: I was going to
20	ask you: Did you get my email? Because I
21	in, my, mind, I was like: Did I actually
22	press send, or did I just draft that email?
23	Because that's the way the last couple of
24	weeks have been for me.
25	MS. SHEETS: No. I got it.
	70

1	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Anything else
2	related to the orientation packet that people
3	want to discuss?
4	(No response.)
5	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Okay. Why don't
6	we move on, then, to language access. And I
7	know this is an issue we've talked about
8	quite a bit, and I'm sorry that Melanie and
9	Arthur can't be here for the conversation
10	today because they had some other kind of
11	specific concerns that they wanted to talk
12	about. So we probably will keep this on the
13	agenda for our next meeting.
14	But as far as, you know, other
15	languages, spoken languages, you know, that's
16	something that, Miranda, you've really been
17	working on. And maybe we can focus on spoken
18	languages today.
19	MS. PARKER: Well, if I can give
20	you just a little, short
21	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: And did you see
22	that Brenda is having to hop off? Okay.
23	Maybe Brenda has already left but
24	MS. PARKER: If I can give just a
25	short just to let you know you may or
	71

1 may not know. The Disparity TAC has asked us 2 about language access and how to do, like, an 3 algorithm on how providers and members can utilize that as well as the MAC. And we are 4 5 working on that with our communications person, Beth Fisher, who spoke earlier. 6 7 But, you know, I think this is helpful, 8 just seeing it on your agenda to make sure 9 that we are addressing all these different 10 points. I think we are, but there's -- we're 11 currently working on that, and hopefully 12 we'll have something by May. But I don't --13 I can't guarantee that. 14 But just as an FYI, we are working on 15 this to hopefully -- to be able to provide in 16 the very near future. And, of course, we'll 17 give you -- we'll probably have you all edit 18 it for us as well so -- to make sure that we 19 are addressing all these things. 20 CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Miranda, is 21 there anything that you want to bring up now? 22 I mean, we -- obviously, we'll have a meeting 23 in June, and maybe that's the better time for 24 us to discuss it more in depth but -- but as 25 they're working on things between now and

1	May, if there's anything you want to sort of
2	flag for them.
3	MS. BROWN: Sure. Yeah. I'm
4	trying to remember what I had brought up
5	before and what I noted to bring up today,
6	the difference between the two. Yeah. I'm
7	glad to hear another TAC is bringing up
8	issues.
9	One of the things that's front to my
10	mind right now is I was really excited to
11	hear David's news recently I guess maybe
12	it was the last TAC of or maybe it was
13	a KHBE meeting about connectors being able
14	to input the information that they speak more
15	than one language.
16	But on the consumer end, like, when I go
17	to Kynect still and I search for a connector,
18	I can't put in a different language. I can't
19	search for a connector who speaks a different
20	language. And still that's still not
21	quite making the connection for it to be a
22	reasonable or not reasonable useful
23	information for the consumer.
24	MR. VERRY: Miranda, we'll look
25	into it.
	73

1	MS. BROWN: Thanks.
2	MR. VERRY: Just a
3	MS. BROWN: All right. It sounded
4	like you got cut off there, David. Were you
5	going to say something?
6	MR. VERRY: No, yeah. We'll look
7	into it. Yes, ma'am.
8	MS. BROWN: Okay. Just making
9	sure.
10	Okay. And then let's see. I think we
11	talked about these things that are on my
12	list. We talked about a decision tree
13	okay and clarifying to providers their
14	responsibility to offer interpretive
15	services. Yeah.
16	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: And it sounded
17	to me, if I recall, either our last call or a
18	call before that, we had talked about a
19	decision tree. And it sounded to me like
20	somebody at DMS was going to draft something
21	for us to sort of, you know, take a look at
22	and then make some suggestions.
23	Is that is that part of what Beth is
24	working on? Or, Beth, is that part of what
25	you're working on?

74

1	MS. FISHER: Sorry. I was having a
2	really hard time un-muting myself.
3	Yes. That is what we are working on,
4	and we're very much in the
5	information-gathering phase now. Like Angie
6	said, we do hope to have something to you
7	guys by mid-May. And it may very much still
8	be kind of a work in progress at that point.
9	It's a lot of information once you get
10	into it. It gets pretty complicated. And,
11	you know, we want to make sure that we're on
12	the right track and that we're putting
13	together something that's going to be useful
14	for members.
15	So definitely look forward to being able
16	to share something with you and getting your
17	feedback and working together to come up with
18	a you know, a useful material.
19	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Okay. Yeah.
20	No. That sounds good. I'm glad you're
21	working on it, and we'll keep this on the
22	agenda for June so that we can review it
23	then.
24	If you're as part of your information
25	gathering, you know, if you have questions
	75

1	about certain types of language access,
2	whether it's interpreters or translations,
3	and it would be helpful, you know, for us to
4	connect you with anyone we can. I mean,
5	Miranda is a good resource, I think, herself,
6	but then I'm thinking about interpreters that
7	work at federally qualified health centers
8	and others that I think could be really good
9	resources.
10	MS. PARKER: Danita Coulter, who is
11	our Equity and Determinants of Health branch
12	manager I believe she's on here she's
13	been working with Beth and others on getting
14	this information. So I'm sure we can also
15	reach out to Miranda as well.
16	MS. BROWN: Absolutely. Yeah. And
17	I'd be really excited to hear if just if
18	there are any updates to some of the items we
19	have listed here, like CHFS recruitment or
20	anything now or in June.
21	MS. PARKER: That, I don't know. I
22	don't about the recruitment of bilingual
23	staff but and I'm pretty sure that's not
24	part of what we're working on. But we'll see
25	if we can find somebody who might know what
	76

1	that is about or what's being done or not.
2	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Yeah. And
3	perhaps that was more on the KHBE side. I
4	recall that being part of the conversation.
5	MS. BROWN: Yeah. I agree. It was
6	more related to KHBE connectors and the call
7	service workers, yep.
8	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: All right. So
9	why don't we move on to new business, and the
10	school Medicaid updates would be the first
11	item. I know that there was a lot of
12	there was a survey recently of schools and
13	was curious to know about, you know, when
14	that data would be released or in what you
15	know, sort of what form we would be able to
16	see what schools are saying in terms of
17	whether they're participating, the services
18	they're providing, that kind of thing, and
19	then any information you can share about the
20	pending grant proposal to CMS.
21	MS. JONES: Hi, Emily. This is
22	Erica. So the data that we collected from
23	the schools, we are still compiling that, but
24	I can give you a little bit of information on
25	that.

We had approximately 70 surveys that were completed start to finish, and the results indicated that the districts that have not implemented expanded access, it was due to them not having staff to administer the program or not being familiar with expanded access. So for that reason, we are putting together some more materials to make sure that all school districts are familiar with what is covered under expanded access.

And, also, the materials that we already have available, reviewing those and making sure that if there is a lot of staff turnover, that training new staff isn't incredibly burdensome. So just making sure that all the materials that we do have as of now are easily digestible.

Also included in that survey was a competency self-assessment, and that also indicated that district staff were not familiar with the covered services as well. So preparing training materials covering the expanded access is a top priority right now.

Additionally, from the survey, we found that districts were using several different

1	methods to deliver services to students. So
2	some were hiring staff, so they were becoming
3	school district staff to do the services.
4	Others were contracting out. And the billing
5	was different as well.
6	And so we are wanting to make sure that
7	we have materials out there for school
8	districts so that they're able to know the
9	different options that they have and
10	determine which is best for their school
11	district in meeting their students' and
12	budgets' and resources' needs.
13	And let's see. And then the update
14	on the grant proposal. We have submitted it.
15	We haven't heard back, but we we are
16	optimistic that we will be funded. We will
17	let you know as soon as we know. But the
18	funds are to be released to states on July 1.
19	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: July 1. Okay.
20	And when do you know when are awards
21	announced?
22	MS. JONES: It was, like, late
23	June, I think, so it was going to be, like,
24	almost simultaneous. So it yeah.
25	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Okay. Yeah. We
	70

1	have to wait a while.
2	MS. JONES: Yes.
3	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: All right. It
4	was a short turnaround for a CMS grant.
5	MS. JONES: It was less than 60
6	days.
7	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: And in the
8	middle of the legislative session. I
9	didn't yeah. But we really appreciate you
10	all putting that together.
11	And I think I shared this in a different
12	meeting, Erica, but the Kentucky Health
13	Center Network is also doing a survey. And I
14	thought it would be something that you all
15	might be interested in just getting their
16	data and seeing how it might, you know, sort
17	of complement the data that you've collected,
18	you know, maybe add in some new information
19	that you don't have. So I asked if they
20	would be willing to share, and they said yes.
21	MS. JONES: That would be helpful
22	because we do know that there were three,
23	maybe four surveys that all had a similar
24	theme that were out around the same time.
25	And so we thought perhaps that is why we

80

1	didn't get the results that we anticipated,
2	was, you know, if you see something, you're
3	like, oh, I've already completed that one.
4	So I mean, that's a probability. But if
5	any others would share their data, that would
6	be great.
7	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Yeah. Okay.
8	And I'm not sure when it'll be available, but
9	I'll I'll keep you posted.
10	I don't have anything else there unless
11	anyone else does. I guess, Miranda,
12	you're it's just the two of us now holding
13	it down.
14	The next item, we'll continue to carry
15	this forward until Arthur and whoever his
16	guest is that he's going to have speak to
17	this issue, the Michelle P waiver, are
18	available to speak to it. So we'll just bump
19	that for June.
20	And then the last item that we have is
21	the alignment of quality initiatives,
22	something that I think was brought up a
23	couple of meetings ago when Veronica was with
24	us. And I don't know if there's much more to
25	share in terms of engaging stakeholders in

81

1	this alignment initiative.
2	Something more specific that I wanted to
3	ask about was the social determinants of
4	health screenings as well and the referrals.
5	MS. PARKER: What do you want to
6	know?
7	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Well, I guess
8	the two parts.
9	MS. PARKER: Well, the first
10	part
11	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: The engaging
12	stakeholders in aligning, you know, quality
13	initiatives. That was one thing that I know
14	was kind of like on the you know, sort of
15	on the agenda for this year at some point.
16	It's a priority
17	MS. PARKER: Yes. It's still on
18	the agenda for this year.
19	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: to do that
20	work. Okay.
21	MS. PARKER: It's still on the
22	agenda for this issue.
23	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Okay. But
24	MS. PARKER: I thought I had my
25	video on. Sorry.
	82

1 It's a work in progress. Let me put it that way. And, also, you know, regarding the 2 3 SDoH screenings and referrals, we've -working with our Managed Care Organizations 4 5 and getting them set up with Kynect and those SDoH screenings that are available on Kynect 6 7 and driving members there and hope -- you 8 know, and get data from that as well on those 9 types of screenings and who -- are they 10 filling this information out? Who are they 11 being referred to? And trying to do a 12 closed-loop referral system through that. 13 Leveraging Medicaid reimbursements for 14 health-related social needs through the 1115 waiver versus lieu of services. That's still 15 16 being talked about and thought about and 17 figuring out which direction to go with that. 18 CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Okay. You know, 19 to go back to the screenings and referrals 20 for social determinants of health. 21 one organization that was interested I think 22 you already have a meeting scheduled with, 23 which is ZeroV, talking about, you know, how 24 their domestic violence shelters can 25 participate in this process and what they 83

25

need to know and, you know, what happens when there's a referral that can't be -- you know, that is either not something that they're able to -- to take care of themselves. Or, you know, they're just unable -- they don't have the capacity for it. Or maybe it's an inappropriate referral for them, and they need to, you know, hand it off to someone

I think those are some of the questions that we're getting. You know, as far as -the screening is great. When the referrals are made, you know, what does that network look like, and how do we ensure that people are getting the services that they need? Is there a responsibility on the part of the referring agency to make sure it's a closed referral, that they either respond to you or

The short answer You know, it could be -- we do have a meeting tomorrow at 4:00, and I think she invited you, Emily, to that as well. You know, that's why we need to talk about the unintended consequences to these things, to

1 make sure that people aren't put into a 2 situation such as that and how to best 3 address those when those referrals may come 4 around. 5 So it's something that we just need to talk through, and I think it'll be good to 6 7 understand where Olivia and her group are 8 coming from and how we can ensure those 9 things are addressed. Yeah. 10 CHAIR BEAUREGARD: And I 11 guess, too, you know, having the MCOs 12 involved in this, I think it makes a lot of 13 I know hospitals are doing the sense. 14 screenings as well. And just, you know, 15 who's responsible ultimately, though, to make 16 sure that the referral, you know, either gets 17 done or gets closed or -- you know, whatever 18 the case may be. 19 MS. PARKER: Well, I mean, 20 ultimately, the responsibility is the person 21 who needs the -- you know, they're filling 22 this out. They're getting this 23 recommendation. All we can do or an MCO or the connector can do is encourage and, you 24 25 know, provide the information. Obviously, if 85

1	the person is in case management via the MCO,
2	they would have more contact with them. Or
3	they may be through this referral system that
4	they need to be in case management if they're
5	not already.
6	So there's opportunities there. It's
7	just how to bring it all together. I
8	understand the concern with that and making
9	sure that people are getting the assistance
10	they need.
11	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Well, it sounds
12	like there's some learning as we go which
13	always the case to some degree. But I'm also
14	curious to know if there's any state that's
15	doing this really well, and I haven't taken
16	the time to look into it. But thank you for
17	making time to talk with ZeroV tomorrow.
18	MS. PARKER: We have talked to Iowa
19	on SDoH screenings and developing a dashboard
20	as well, so we have done some preliminary
21	review of this in other states. So it's a
22	work in progress. There's a whole lot of
23	things that we're doing.
24	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Aside from, you
25	know, helping the individuals and being able
	86

1	to be responsive to their needs, of course,
2	we also want to know just generally speaking
3	as we're collecting data, you know, from the
4	screening and then from the referrals what
5	services are available out there and which
6	services aren't available so that there can
7	be more targeted sort of focus on building
8	those up.
9	MS. PARKER: Absolutely. Know
10	where the holes are.
11	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Yeah. Miranda,
12	do you have any questions related to this?
13	0kay.
14	MS. BROWN: No. Thank you.
14 15	MS. BROWN: No. Thank you. CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Any other things
15	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Any other things
15 16	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Any other things that you want to discuss, issues? Questions,
15 16 17	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Any other things that you want to discuss, issues? Questions, suggestions?
15 16 17 18	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Any other things that you want to discuss, issues? Questions, suggestions? Okay. Well, then, I think we'll forego
15 16 17 18 19	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Any other things that you want to discuss, issues? Questions, suggestions? Okay. Well, then, I think we'll forego recommendations. We don't have a quorum, so
15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Any other things that you want to discuss, issues? Questions, suggestions? Okay. Well, then, I think we'll forego recommendations. We don't have a quorum, so we'll come back in June and hopefully have
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Any other things that you want to discuss, issues? Questions, suggestions? Okay. Well, then, I think we'll forego recommendations. We don't have a quorum, so we'll come back in June and hopefully have more members present with us so that we can
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Any other things that you want to discuss, issues? Questions, suggestions? Okay. Well, then, I think we'll forego recommendations. We don't have a quorum, so we'll come back in June and hopefully have more members present with us so that we can take care of the minutes and also have some
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Any other things that you want to discuss, issues? Questions, suggestions? Okay. Well, then, I think we'll forego recommendations. We don't have a quorum, so we'll come back in June and hopefully have more members present with us so that we can take care of the minutes and also have some recommendations to put forward.

1	which is going to be in May. I didn't put
2	that on there, the date, but it'll be in May.
3	And then our next Consumer TAC meeting is
4	going to be at 1:30 on June 18th. And so we
5	will continue to address some of these items,
6	especially the ones that we didn't touch on
7	too much today. And, of course, I'll reach
8	out for any other items beforehand.
9	And one thing I wanted to ask Erin. As
10	far as the structure of the agenda, I think
11	we've been using this kind of structure for
12	probably at least a year now. I find it
13	you know, we do a lot of jumping around
14	between old and new business, and some of the
15	similar topics kind of get split between
16	those two items.
17	I'm wondering if I can make the agenda
18	more topical, like have everything about
19	waivers in one section and just have old
20	business and then new business and then
21	everything about Medicaid renewals, old
22	business, new business. Does that make
23	sense? Could we do it that way?
24	MS. BICKERS: It's your agenda.
25	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Okay.
	88

1	MS. BICKERS: So if you would like
2	to structure it that way, it's fine with me.
3	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Okay. Great. I
4	think this was a template that
5	MS. BICKERS: It might actually
6	help me keep up with where we're at.
7	CHAIR BEAUREGARD: This was a
8	template that DMS had given us. And so I was
9	trying to follow the template, but I felt
10	like maybe I could just make a few
11	adjustments to it. So I'll do that for the
12	next meeting, and we'll see if we like it
13	better.
14	MS. BICKERS: I think a template
15	was started because there were some TACs that
4.0	
16	were asking for one, or they had new chairs
16	and didn't have, you know, a copy of their
17	and didn't have, you know, a copy of their
17 18	and didn't have, you know, a copy of their previous ones. So we put one together to try
17 18 19	and didn't have, you know, a copy of their previous ones. So we put one together to try to give a little guidance and but yeah,
17 18 19 20	and didn't have, you know, a copy of their previous ones. So we put one together to try to give a little guidance and but yeah, it's your agenda if you need to structure
17 18 19 20 21	and didn't have, you know, a copy of their previous ones. So we put one together to try to give a little guidance and but yeah, it's your agenda if you need to structure it
17 18 19 20 21 22	and didn't have, you know, a copy of their previous ones. So we put one together to try to give a little guidance and but yeah, it's your agenda if you need to structure it CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Okay.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and didn't have, you know, a copy of their previous ones. So we put one together to try to give a little guidance and but yeah, it's your agenda if you need to structure it CHAIR BEAUREGARD: Okay. MS. BICKERS: Because not all TACs

1	All right. Well, I'll work on that, then,
2	but thank you. And thanks, everybody, for
3	your time today, and I think we'll adjourn.
4	Have a good afternoon.
5	MS. BROWN: Have a good afternoon.
6	(Meeting concluded at 3:10 p.m.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	90

1	* * * * * * * * *
2	CERTIFICATE
3	
4	I, SHANA SPENCER, Certified
5	Realtime Reporter and Registered Professional
6	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing
7	typewritten pages are a true and accurate transcript
8	of the proceedings to the best of my ability.
9	
10	I further certify that I am not employed
11	by, related to, nor of counsel for any of the parties
12	herein, nor otherwise interested in the outcome of
13	this action.
14	
15	Dated this 1st day of May, 2024.
16	
17	
18	/s/ Shana W. Spencer
19	Shana Spencer, RPR, CRR
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	91