Goal 1: Improve	e safety outco	mes for children during all phases of child welfare intervention.	
Objective	Lead	Tasks	Target Date
1.1: Implement an established safety model to include ongoing safety assessments through critical junctures of the case statewide by 2024.	Child Protection Branch	1: Finalize a contract with selected vendor. 2020 Update: The department finalized a contract and scope of work with the National Council on Crime and Delinquency (NCCD) Children's Research Center to implement the Structured Decision Making <sup>®</sup> (SDM) intake assessment for child welfare on March 1, 2020. The target date for completion has been changed from 2019 to 2020. During the preparation activities for developing a safety model, it was evident that implementing an already established and proven successful model would be the most efficient and sound decision and in the best interest of the families served by the department. While understanding this would push back the original start date, the long term goals were considered in order to ensure a quality assessment process. NCCD and the department have worked diligently to develop a thorough and thoughtful implementation plan with long-term sustainability and client benefit, while	CY 20 <b>20</b>
		adhering to PIP implementation timeframes.2: Project planning, project start-up, project kick-off meetings, pre-implementation data assessment, intake assessment customization, inter-rater reliability testing, intake assessment training curriculum development, automation, training, and implementation support.2020 Update: A project kick-off meeting was held March 20, 2020 where next steps were formalized to move forward with the implementation of the intake assessment. NCCD is currently conducting a review of Kentucky's statutes, administrative regulations, and department standards of practice. The department has provided relevant materials and assistance as needed for clarification purposes. The project planning and startup tasks include web surveys and phone interviews, data analytics, project management, and a summarization of all start-up activities. Staff from Kentucky's Comprehensive Child Welfare Information System (CCWIS), The Worker's Information SysTem (TWIST), team, and NCCD have also begun collaboration for data analysis by NCCD. The safety and risk assessment customization, inter- rater reliability testing, safety and risk assessment training curriculum development, automation, training, and implementation support are detailed in a second contract with NCCD. While the department has committed to the totality of this work, it was necessary to split the work between two contracts to align with the start of a new budget biennium on July 1, 2020. This second contract will be executed at the beginning of the next staft fiscal year (July 1) and it is anticipated that this work will continue into 2021. Since execution of the contract, the implementation of the safety model has progressed with a stakeholder kick off meeting scheduled for September 17, 2020. There are no further delays anticipated in the implementation. A timeline has been developed in conjunction with the contracted ve	CY 2020

# 1

			and risk assessment				risk assessment	CY 2021	
		<ul><li>training curriculum development, automation, training, and implementation support.</li><li>4: Intake and initial safety and risk assessments implementation evaluation.</li></ul>							
		5: Ongoing safety and risk assessment customization, inter-rater reliability testing, ongoing safety and risk assessment training curriculum development, automation, training, and implementation support.							
			ty and risk assessme					CY 2023	
Data Ind	icators		2019 CFSP Submission	2020 APSR Submission	2021 APSR Submission	2022 APSR Submission	2023 APSR Submission	2024 APSR Submission	
Recurrence of maltreatment Source: Kentucky CFSR 3 Data		Actual	<b>16.2%</b> (FY <b>16-17</b> )	19% (FY17-18)					
Profile-RSP		Target		13.72%	12.54%	11.36%	10.18%	9.0%	
Item 3: Risk and a assessment and Source: Third-Lew State Rating Sum	management vel Case Review	Actual	35.09 % (07/10/2019) PUR (3/18- 6/18)	37.58% (06/08/2020) Combined PUR (01/19-6/19) measurement periods					
		Target		40.07%	45.05%	50.04%	55.08%	60%	
Goal 2: Ensure and children in		te services are a	available that exp	and the prevention	on continuum an	d are provided t	o meet the need	Is of families	
Objective	Lead			Tas	ks			Target Date	
2.1: Expand prevention services statewide 12% by 2024.	Prevention Branch	based practices necessary. 2020 Update: In service array ar Data from this a were receiving example, data f served by a con received service	ly First Prevention S to submit in 5-year preparation for im alysis to determine analysis showed that contracted in-home from the analysis sh tracted services pro- es from a contracted ervices available wi	FFPSA Prevention plementation of F what services are at although in som services, there we nowed that the Eas povider in 2018, whi d service provider. thin each region va	Plan, identify when FPSA in October 2 needed and when e areas of the stat ere still many gaps tern Mountain Ser ile only 706 familie Additionally, the ary. One example	e growth and capa 019, the departme e the need is with e many families and in service provisi rvice Region had 2 es in The Lakes Ser data also showed is service provisio	acity building is ent conducted a in the state. nd children on. For 2,011 families rvice Region that the variety on from START	CY 2019	

expansion of prevention services in the identified areas of need with the evidence-based practice models of TF-CBT, PCIT, and Functional Family Therapy.	
2: Complete regional forums in each service region to collaborate with community partners and service providers regarding FFPSA implementation and Kentucky's service provision needs. 2020 Update: The nine regional forums across Kentucky resulted in great engagement. Social workers, educators, judges and prosecutors, nonprofit leaders, state legislators, and many other advocates for Kentucky's children and families participated in the forums to learn more about what implementation of FFPSA would mean for families in Kentucky. There were 1,149 attendees and another 2,172 streaming views. One vital component of the success of the forums was having a former foster youth share the perspective of a young person with experience within the child welfare system. Regional-specific data was also shared in each region to most accurately depict what families in those communities were experiencing and what the outcomes were for those children. The department knows that strong families equal strong communities and it was vital that each stakeholder see their role in this call to action. The constant message throughout the forums was that individuals know their community's best and that not everyone will play the same role, but everyone has a role to play. Regionally specific data was presented at each forum, which highlighted the regionally specific needs. The guided implementation efforts allowed the department to make adjustments in response to concerns or issues identified that may not have previously been considered. It also helped to identify areas where additional targeted communication was needed due to misconceptions around FFPSA by the local courts or community partners.	CY 2019
3: Select evidenced-based practices and submit those in the 5-year Prevention Plan. 2020 Update: Based upon information gleaned from the October 2019 studies, the following EBPs were selected and submitted in the five-year prevention plan: Functional Family Therapy, Homebuilders, Motivational Interviewing, Multisystemic Therapy, Parent-Child Interactional Therapy, Sobriety Treatment and Recovery Teams, and Trauma-Focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy.	CY 2019
<ul> <li>4: Complete contract execution for an additional Family Preservation Program agency in Northern Kentucky.</li> <li>2020 Update: In September 2019, a contract was executed with an additional service provider to begin providing Family Preservation Program (FPP) services. The agency was contracted to serve 30 families, with two clinicians serving up to six families at a single point in time. However, multiple contractual delays occurred which led to significant interruptions in hiring, training and serving families. As a result, the agency was only able to serve seven families in 2019.</li> </ul>	CY 2019
5: Request additional funding for the Family Preservation Program when requesting agency budget for the next biennium.	CY 2020

		budget ask. How department's vit include an appro Medicaid and ava manner. The dep additional 25% o obtaining their B analyzing a reque Additional plans capacity to provi 6: Request fundir Empowering Pare 2020 Update: Ad budget ask. How department's vit include an appro Medicaid and ava manner. Additio June 1, resulting information on K expand family pr	vever, considering f al commodity of w priation for prever ailable FFTA funds partment plans to f families. The onl ehavioral Health S est for information to support FFTA to de TF-CBT and the og to expand Kentu ents (KSTEP), statew ditional funding for vever, considering f al commodity of w priation for prever ailable FFTA funds nally, KSTEP expar in an additional se STEP can be found eservation services	the priorities of the porkforce, the budget to support preven use FFTA funds to y anticipated barri- ervice Organization (RFI), which is hole o include training in Homebuilders mo- cky's Title IV-E Wa wide when request or prevention servi- the priorities of the priorities of the prokforce, the budget to support preven- nded service provision when counties having in Attachment 11 s in serving an add	get request that me e department is no ition services in the expand family pre- ier is the amount of n (BHSO) licensure ped to bring additi n title IV-E EBPs, sp odel. iver program, Kent ing agency budget ces was requested e current administ get request that me e department is no ition services in the sion to the entire I ng access to this in . The department	tration, to focus of ade it to the legis ow focusing on par- e most efficient ar servation services of time providers of e. Chapin Hall is a ional agencies to to pecifically increasi sucky Strengthenin for the next bienr for the next bienr in the department tration, to focus of ade it to the legis ow focusing on par- e most efficient ar Northeastern Serv -home service for plans to use FFTA	n the lature did not thership with ad effective in serving an encounter when ssisting with he table. ng statewide g Ties and ium. at's biennium n the lature did not thership with ad effective ice Region on families. More a funds to	CY <b>2020</b>
		Organization (BH which is hoped to	SO) licensure. Cha b bring additional a /-E EBPs, specifical odel.	apin Hall is assistin agencies to the tak ly increasing state	ble. Additional pla wide capacity to p	Behavioral Healt request for inforr ns to support FFT rovide TF-CBT and	h Service nation (RFI), A to include I the	
Data Indica	ators	Organization (BH which is hoped to training in title IV	SO) licensure. Cha o bring additional a /-E EBPs, specifical odel. 2019 CFSP	apin Hall is assistin agencies to the tak ly increasing state 2020 APSR	g with analyzing a ble. Additional pla wide capacity to p 2021 APSR	Behavioral Healt request for inform ns to support FFT rovide TF-CBT and 2022 APSR	h Service nation (RFI), A to include I the 2023 APSR	2024 APSR Submission
		Organization (BH which is hoped to training in title IV Homebuilders m	SO) licensure. Cha b bring additional a /-E EBPs, specifical odel. 2019 CFSP Submission	apin Hall is assistin agencies to the tak ly increasing state 2020 APSR Submission	g with analyzing a ble. Additional pla wide capacity to p	Behavioral Healt request for inforr ns to support FFT rovide TF-CBT and	h Service nation (RFI), A to include I the	2024 APSR Submission
Total number of ch	ildren in	Organization (BH which is hoped to training in title IV	SO) licensure. Cha b bring additional a /-E EBPs, specifical odel. 2019 CFSP Submission 9,875	apin Hall is assistin agencies to the tak ly increasing state 2020 APSR Submission 9,950	g with analyzing a ble. Additional pla wide capacity to p 2021 APSR	Behavioral Healt request for inform ns to support FFT rovide TF-CBT and 2022 APSR	h Service nation (RFI), A to include I the 2023 APSR	
Total number of ch OOHC with active p	ildren in blacements	Organization (BH which is hoped to training in title IV Homebuilders mo Actual	SO) licensure. Cha b bring additional a /-E EBPs, specifical odel. 2019 CFSP Submission	apin Hall is assistin agencies to the tak ly increasing state 2020 APSR Submission 9,950 (06/07/2020)	g with analyzing a ole. Additional pla wide capacity to p 2021 APSR Submission	Behavioral Healt request for inforr ns to support FFT rovide TF-CBT and 2022 APSR Submission	h Service nation (RFI), A to include I the 2023 APSR Submission	Submission
Total number of ch	ildren in blacements	Organization (BH which is hoped to training in title IV Homebuilders m	SO) licensure. Cha b bring additional a /-E EBPs, specifical odel. 2019 CFSP Submission 9,875	apin Hall is assistin agencies to the tak ly increasing state 2020 APSR Submission 9,950	g with analyzing a ble. Additional pla wide capacity to p 2021 APSR	Behavioral Healt request for inform ns to support FFT rovide TF-CBT and 2022 APSR	h Service nation (RFI), A to include I the 2023 APSR	
Total number of ch OOHC with active p Source: Statewide I	ildren in blacements Foster Care	Organization (BH which is hoped to training in title IV Homebuilders mo Actual	SO) licensure. Cha b bring additional a /-E EBPs, specifical odel. 2019 CFSP Submission 9,875	apin Hall is assistin agencies to the tak ly increasing state 2020 APSR Submission 9,950 (06/07/2020)	g with analyzing a ole. Additional pla wide capacity to p 2021 APSR Submission	Behavioral Healt request for inforr ns to support FFT rovide TF-CBT and 2022 APSR Submission	h Service nation (RFI), A to include I the 2023 APSR Submission	Submission

and prevent remo	oval or re-		PUR (3/18-	Combined PUR				
entry into foster			6/18)	(01/19-6/19)				
Source: Third-Lev				measurement				
State Rating Sum	mary			periods				
5	,	Target		51%	52%	53%	54%	55%
Item 12: Needs a	nd services of	Actual	21.93%	34.9%				
child, parents, an	d foster		(07/10/2019)	(06/08/2020)				
parents			PUR (3/18-	Combined PUR				
Source: Third-Level Case Review			6/18)	(01/19-6/19)				
State Rating Sum	mary			measurement				
				periods				
		Target		23%	24%	25%	26%	27%
Statewide number of families		Actual	3,516 (CY	3,622				
served through co	ontracted		2018)					
services								
Source: In Home	Services	Target		3,569 (+1.5%)	3,622 (+3%)	3,727 (+6%)	3,833 (+9%)	3,938 (+12%)
Database								
Objective	Lead			Tas				Target Date
2.2: Implement	Child			erence regarding alt	•			CY 2019
an alternative	Protection	•	•	l the Evaluation Sur				
response	Branch &			November 2019. B		neld workshops fo	cused on	
process	DPP	differential resp	onse and screenin	g threshold analysis	S.			
statewide by	Director's		ng and data assess					CY 2020
2024.	Office	-		y receiving technica			-	
		•	· · · ·	e development of a	•	· · · · ·		
		-		onduct a screening	•			
		-		etermine if and wh			•••	
		•	•	ds; uncover any po	••••	•		
				actors that impact	•	· · ·	•	
				ucky's consistently				
				e first meeting to in		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20, an initial analy		ucted and present	ed to	
		-		rrently under review				
	1	3: Refine accept	ance criteria and id	entify referral track	S.			CY 2020

		2020 Update: Th review and discu defining referral	process of	CY 2020				
		4: Development of alternative response process. 2020 Update: The department is currently receiving technical assistance from the Capacity Building Center for the States (the Center) in developing an alternate response process. A differential response crosswalk between SOP and practice is in progress to best determine the outline of intake criteria and how it would assist the families in Kentucky. The only anticipated barrier is identifying and implementing needed modifications to TWIST.						
	5: Development and implementation of training for alternative response process. CY							
		training and imp	blicy revisions. The lementation time a isions made to the	and to determine r	needed SOP revision	ons. It is anticipat	ed that there	CY 2022
		7: Implementatio	on of the alternative	e response process	statewide.			CY 2022
		8: Analyze data r family satisfactio	egarding repeat ma n.	altreatment, subse	quent entries into	out-of-home care	(OOHC), and	CY 2023-2024
Data Indi	cators		2019 CFSP	2020 APSR	2021 APSR	2022 APSR	2023 APSR	2024 APSR
	- ·		Submission	Submission	Submission	Submission	Submission	Submission
Statewide number investigations Source: DSR past		Actual	7,947 (last week of 2018)	9,112 (last week of 2019)				
TWS-W292WI		Target		7,868	7,789	7,710	7,631	7,552
Objective	Lead			Tas	ks			Target Date
2.3: Expand Parent Engagement Meetings (PEMs) to one additional county, evaluate program outcomes, and identify	Prevention Branch	2020 Update: Ke to implement Pa implementation There were delat between provide of families serve pandemic, it is u backup plan is fo	Implement PEMs in Daviess County for the entirety of the 2019-2020 school year. 20 Update: Kentucky successfully executed a contract with the Green River Area Development District implement Parent Engagement Meetings (PEMs) in Daviess County, a rural area, in March 2019. After plementation in Daviess County, 17 families were served through the end of the 2019 school year. ere were delays with implementation, initially, due to additional work required for partnership tween providers and the local DCBS office. The expansion in Daviess County led to an increase in 4% families served through PEMs. It is intended for PEMs to continue, however, due to the COVID-19 ndemic, it is unknown how this will occur. If schools do not return to in-person instruction, the ckup plan is for contract providers to continue to provide services in the form of food, NTI assistance, c. as they did at the beginning of the pandemic when schools transitioned to NTI.					

additional funding opportunities for further		<ul> <li>2: Evaluate program outcomes (number of meetings held, number of children served, percentage of families diverted from being referred for child welfare intervention).</li> <li>2020 Update: For March-May 2019 in Daviess County: 17 meetings were held; 24 children were served; 100% of families served were diverted for child welfare intervention.</li> </ul>						CY 2021		
expansion by 2024.	5. Identity and secure additional fanding opportantices for far the expansion.							CY 2022		
Data Indi	cators		2019 CFSP Submission	2020 APSR Submission	2021 APSR Submission	2022 APSR Submission	2023 APSR Submission	2024 APSR Submission		
Number of PEMs of statewide Source: Gentrack	Source: Gentrack		248 (2016-17 school year)	344 (2017-2018 school year) 298 86%	348	398	448	498		
involvement state		Actual Target		80%	81%	82%	83%	84%		
Goal 3: Increase	the timelines	s to appropriate	permanency for	all children in OC	онс.					
Objective	Lead			Tas	ks			Target Date		
3.1: By 2024, ensure that 95% of children	Clinical Services Branch	integration into o		-				CY 2020		
entering care receive a behavioral health screener and that 75% of those that		and assessment department is in frontline staff or Department staf	O Update: The division continues to collaborate with the Training Branch to ensure that screening assessment is embedded within the department's training curriculum as well as daily practice. The partment is in the process of developing training videos to assist with continuing education of ntline staff on incorporating recommendations for evidence-based treatment into case planning partment staff are integrating screening and assessment into daily practice, as evidenced by npliance rates. Since the CANS assessment report is utilized in the leveling process, providers are							
screen in for		more likely to co	mplete the assessi	ments regularly. T	here continue to b	be barriers with co	ommunity			

assessment receive a	mental health centers embedding the assessment into their daily practice. The clinical consultant is identifying these particular agencies and working with them individually to overcome this barrier.						
comprehensive behavioral	2: Ongoing training of regional liaisons to assist in tracking completion of screeners.	CY 2022					
nealth Issessment.	3: Ongoing technical assistance for DCBS staff from the clinical consultant, specialist, and SRCAs.	CY 2022					
	Assessments						
	<ul> <li>1: Development of training videos to assist clinicians in the completion of the Child and Adolescent Strengths and Needs (CANS) assessment, as well as technical assistance.</li> <li>2020 Update: The division continues to collaborate with the Training Branch to ensure that screening and assessment is embedded within the department's training curriculum as well as daily practice. The department is in the process of developing training videos to assist with continuing education of frontline staff on incorporating recommendations for evidence-based treatment into case planning. The greatest barrier to completion of the training videos is lack of staff to work on the project. It is anticipated that the addition of the new specialist in the clinical services branch, will allow for the</li> </ul>	CY 2020					
	clinical consultant to have more time for this activity.2: The clinical consultant will provide ongoing, monthly CANS trainings for providers in central locations (Louisville, Richmond, and Bowling Green).2020 Update: The clinical consultant is training providers on the CANS, as well as provide technical assistance for trained providers once they have begun implementing CANS assessments. The clinical consultant provides technical assistance in a variety of forms such as provider training, department staff development and guidance in interpreting the CANS, clinical consultation, quality case reviews, and regular interfacing with community partners, such as private providers, community mental health centers, and other agencies such as CASA. Recently a specialist was hired within the Clinical Services Branch to assist with providing technical assistance and support to regional staff regarding screening and assessment.						
	3: Monthly webinars hosted by the clinical consultant and AMS staff to assist in the completion and integration of CANS Assessments; development of a learning collaborative to share resources and build clinical skills statewide with clinicians providing the CANS assessments.						
	4: Ongoing training of SRCAs to support understanding of CANS assessments and integration into case planning.	CY 2022					
	5: Ongoing technical assistance for providers by the clinical consultant and AMS staff.	CY 2023					
Data Indicators	2019 CFSP2020 APSR2021 APSR2022 APSR2023 APSRSubmissionSubmissionSubmissionSubmissionSubmission	2024 APSR Submission					

Placement stability Source: Kentucky CFSR 3 Data		Actual	4. <b>44</b> (18A18B)	4.29 (19A19B)				
Profile-RSP	CF3K 3 Dulu	Target	(10A10B)	4.57	4.47	4.37	4.27	4.17
Percent of childre	n in care for	Actual	41.8%	43.8%				
at least 24 month		Actual	(01/2019)	(01/2020)				
fewer placement		Target	(01/2015)	42%	42.2%	42.4%	42.6%	42.8%
Source: Federal DIG C4.3 Percent of children entering		raiger		4270	42.270	42.470	42.070	42.070
Percent of childre	en entering	Actual	90%	94.83%				
care who received a behavioral health screener Source: TWS-M366S		Target		91%	92%	93%	94%	95%
Of those children screened in		Actual	47.61%	47.34%				
for assessment, percent of children who received a comprehensive behavioral health assessment		Target		48.41%	49.21%	50%	63%	75%
Source: TWS-M36								
Objective	Lead			Task				Target Date
3.2: Decrease	Adoption		to regional staff on a n	, ,	• • •			CY 2019
the number of	Services		ths and have not yet a	•	•	-	• •	
children five	Branch &		QI) group for the revie		evelopment of tar	geted strategies th	lat will be	
and under that have been in	OOHC Branch		supported by central o ne department has im		onthly regional of	alla ta adduasa naw	mananay data	
care for 12-23	Branch	•	ends. The permanenc	•	• •	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
months who do		-	tcomes. Data is used		· · ·	• •	• •	
not achieve		• •	children in OOHC and	• •	· · ·	•	-	
permanency		• •	le to each specific cas			-	-	
within 12		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	vision staff and include		•			
months by		-	anency goals, and len					
2024.			tifying needed modifi	-			•	
			e permanency calls ar		•		•	
		•	•			•		
		Branch, Includin	ig the branch manage	rs and specialists	; regional staff in	icluding service rea	gion clinical	

and certification (R&C) supervisors, child focused recruitment supervisors, and frontline staff, as well as the DSR assistant director. While AOC does not participate in the bi-monthly calls, AOC does participate in the PIP permanency workgroup. During these meetings, updates are given regarding the calls and what barriers, successes, etc. are being identified statewide. The Adoption Branch Manager also contacts AOC workgroup members to discuss concerns when identified, even if only specific to one region.	
<ul> <li>2: Submit and finalize communication plans. Develop standing agenda layout for DCBS point of contacts to use during meetings with points of contract from the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) and the Office of Legal Services (OLS). Send communication to AOC and OLS prior to implementation of quarterly meetings.</li> <li>2020 Update: The department communicated via letter with the courts to explain the permanency call process. Along with the statewide explanation letter, each region submitted their own specific court related communication plan in July 2019. Some jurisdictions already have regularly established meetings between DCBS and the courts in their regions; however, some regions needed assistance with implementing these meetings. The focus of the meetings is to utilize regional level data to focus on trends. The department has provided guidance to requesting regions on how to explain to the judges that permanency teams may affect the data. The department's goal is to have these meetings implemented statewide during calendar year 2020. Additional aggregate permanency-related data is shared with AOC and OLS. Prior to sharing this data with AOC and OLS, CQI specialists received training on the data indicators, as well as protocol for distribution. Some regions that did not have established meetings with their court jurisdictions and requested assistance on how to build relationships with their local court systems to facilitate a feedback loop with the court system in their areas.</li> </ul>	CY 2019
3: Share permanency data with AOC and OLS and begin quarterly meetings. Develop and implement strategies for addressing identified barriers. 2020 Update: The department communicated, via letter, with the courts to explain the permanency call process. Along with the statewide explanation letter, each region submitted their own specific court related communication plan in July 2019. Some jurisdictions already have regularly established meetings between DCBS and the courts; however, some regions needed assistance with implementing these meetings. The focus of the meetings is to utilize regional level data to focus on trends. The department has provided guidance to requesting regions on how to explain to the judges that permanency teams may affect the data. The department's goal is to have these meetings implemented statewide during calendar year 2020. Additional aggregate permanency-related data is shared with AOC and OLS. Prior to sharing this data with AOC and OLS, CQI specialists received training on the data indicators, as well as protocol for distribution.	CY 2020

Data Indi	cators	contact on a quar statewide AOC ar 2020 Update: The Discussions surro meetings occur o representatives o	terly basis. Centra nd OLS points of co e PIP permanency ounding permanen on a quarterly basis or AOC staff pendi	progress and outco al office staff will co ontact on a quarter workgroup contin icy and the permai s. Identified barrie ng specific case cir ed meetings betwe 2020 APSR	ommunicate state ly basis. ues to meet regul nency calls take pl ers are relayed to cumstances. Typi	wide progress and arly with AOC in a ace during these r either regional co cally, identified ba	outcomes with ttendance. meetings. The urt district	CY 2020 2024 APSR
		-	Submission	Submission	Submission	Submission	Submission	Submission
Percentage of children age 5 and under who have been in care 12-23 months who did not achieve permanency within 12 months Source: P2 Permanency in 12 Months for Youth in Care 12-23 Months v2.0, date 04/25/2018		Actual Target	47.6%	<b>45.3%</b> 46.6%	45.6%	44.6% (-3%)	43.1%	41.6% (-6%)
Objective	Lead			Tas				Target Date
3.3: By 2024, decrease the number of youth exiting care at age 18 or older without	Adoption Services Branch, Transitional Services Branch, &	been in OOHC for 2020 Update: As longer. In March outlines the tota identify and tren	greater than 12 n of March 2020, st 2019, 355 childre Is for each region. ds and assist regio	ecialists, increase t nonths at the age c atewide, there we n age 16 were in C CQI specialists ha ons in action plann	f 16. re 434 children ag OHC for 12 monti ve begun tracking ing around barriel	e 16 in OOHC for 2 hs or longer. The this information rs.	12 months or table below in an effort to	CY 2019
having achieved permanency.	OOHC Branch	2020 Update: Sa youth engageme and VOC staff to implementing yo CQI meetings. CO program improve department initia discussions with	It River Trail, Nort nt CQI meetings q coordinate these. uth into the existi QI specialists help ement that directl atives, and focus o youth on issues id	ts in diligent recrui heastern, and Nor uarterly and work Although the COV ng regions, the rer facilitate the meet y affect youth in O on permanency and entified as problet ed regional or dep	thern Bluegrass so very closely with /ID-19 pandemic l naining regions ar tings by identifyin OHC. These topic d foster care issue matic and action p	ervice regions have the independent I has delayed progre e in the process o g local and region s often coordinate s. CQI specialists lan around these,	e implemented iving specialists ess in f creating youth al issues for e with help guide open as well as	CY 20 <mark>20</mark>

		ng minutes; regior			• •			
	-	ssion; and departn				•		
		eetings. Feedback	•		-			
		es that youth have		•				
		bility to have more		•				
	•	he diligent recruit			• •			
		nt recruitment. Fo	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•	· · · ·		
		cruitment transfor						
	-	tee and a part of t						
	•	k continues as form		•	•	• •		
	-	ent. Concerns and			· ·			
	•••••	onal staff and the <sup>-</sup> vel, if necessary. I		-		-		
		ent and redesign.	DSK S FIEld Quality	Diditch has also i	nvited youth to be	e a part of the		
			ruitment plan ovo	ry six months and	nrovide technical	assistance with	CY 2019	
	3: Review each regional diligent recruitment plan every six months and provide technical assistance with the emphasis on child-specific and targeted recruitment for transition age youth.							
	2020 Update: The division has a statewide diligent recruitment specialist within the OOHC Branch who							
	provides technical assistance and support to the regions. The diligent recruitment specialist has focused							
	on mapping recruitment and retention needs for each county and region so efforts are data driven and							
	focused in targeted areas. Each region is required to update their regional recruitment plans twice per							
	year. Updated plans are sent to the Out-of-Home Care Branch upon completion. The regions are also							
	asked to make note regarding events on their plans to help with future planning (how the event went,							
	interest, etc.). A	dditionally, there i	is a statewide me	eting that occurs o	uarterly with the	regional leads		
	interest, etc.). Additionally, there is a statewide meeting that occurs quarterly with the regional leads and program leads for diligent recruitment.							
	4: Evaluate perm	anency measures a	and outcomes.				CY 2019	
	2020 Update: Ke	entucky continues	to remain above t	he national stand	ard (31.8%) for pe	rmanency in 12		
	months for child	ren in care 24 mor	ths or more at 37	.82% based on the	e February 2020 Cl	-SR 3 Data		
	Profile. Kentuck	y also remains abo	ove the national st	andard (42.7%) fo	r children enterin	g care and		
	achieving perma	nency in 12 month	ns, as outlined bel	ow. Kentucky con	tinues to fall belo	w the national		
	standard (45.9%)	) for permanency i	n 12 months for c	hild in care 12-23	months, however,	is showing		
	· · ·	nent. This cohort		a focus during PIP	development and	efforts will		
	continue for this	population within						
Data Indicators		2019 CFSP	2020 APSR	2021 APSR	2022 APSR	2023 APSR	2024 APSR	
		Submission	Submission	Submission	Submission	Submission	Submission	
	Actual	612	644					

Number of youth	exiting care		(2018 exits)	(2019 exits)										
at age 18		Target		604	596	588	582	576						
Source: TWS-M05														
Goal 4: Impleme	· · ·	o stabilize the w	orkforce to decre					Target Date						
Objective	Lead	Tasks         1: Internal and external communication and/or training regarding Culture of Safety, development of         C												
4.1: Decrease the percentage	Division of Service		System Safety Review (SSR) process for child fatalities and near fatalities, procedures manual and policy											
of frontline staff	Regions,	updates, implementation.												
exiting and	DPP	2020 Update: The department, in collaboration with Collaborative Safety, implemented the system												
increase the	Director's	safety review (SSR) process for fatality and near fatalities in October 2019. In preparation for the												
number of staff	Office, EKU	implementation of the new review process, program and regional staff began training with Collaborative												
entering the	Training	Safety in March 2019. Leadership and frontline staff attended orientations and leadership trainings on												
workforce by	Branch		oughout the remain		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
2024.		•	within the departm			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
			nd reacting punitive	· ·	I staff in an effor	t to assist with rer	noving the							
		stigma associate	ed with working for	the department.										
		All cases with a child fatality or near fatality in an active case or investigation will have an initial review												
		by the system s	afety analyst and w	ill be presented to t	he multi-discipli:	nary team (MDT) f	for							
		consideration o	f a comprehensive a	analysis. The system	n safety review t	eam completes an	initial case							
		review, which in	ncludes a review of	the circumstances c	of the fatal/near	fatal incident, alle	gations and							
		-	nvestigations, and t		-									
		identify features that may be recommended for a more in-depth analysis. Particular attention is given												
		-	ring within 24 mont											
			and SOP 2.14 Inves	tigations of Child Fa	atalities and Nea	<u>r Fatalities</u> fully ou	utlines the SSR							
		process.				/								
		-	ties/tasks and respo cess for the identific		• •	t (FTS) position, de	velopment of	CY 2020						
		•	taff interested in be			ous application pr	acass to assass							
		-	atch the criteria for											
		-	taff have at least th											
		-	ng record: It is prefe		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
		•	ious three-year peri		•									
		-	pit exemplary writte											
			f: As FTSs will coach											

coworkers in this type of capacity. Both the immediate supervisor and next line supervisor must write a letter of recommendation for each FTS applicant. The FTS roles will be filled by existing positions (clinicians) that are already established within the regions who will assume the FTS duties. There are three pilot regions identified and the current proposal is one clinician per region during the pilot. The ratio of FTS to new workers is not determined at this time as it will depend upon current staffing within the pilot regions. FTS will assist new staff, at a minimum, during their academy training.	
<ul> <li>3: Identify high performing frontline workers and those to be performing the roles and responsibilities of the FTSs and conduct DACUM occupational analysis (validation) to confirm current duties, tasks, knowledge and skills needed to successfully perform the work; conduct focus groups with subject matter experts (e.g., high performing employees, supervisors, regional administrators, central office staff, university partners, community partners) to revise the Behavioral Anchor Tools for use in evaluating and coaching new DPP employees.</li> <li>2020 Update: A DACUM (Developing a Curriculum) for current DCBS Protection and Permanency staff is currently being used to support the Protection and Permanency Training Academy for new workers. Dr. Barbee, along with her staff at the University of Louisville, have created draft behavioral anchors. DPP leadership, DCBS Training Branch curriculum developers and trainers, and university partners have reviewed the behavioral anchors. The DCBS Training Branch cross-walked the draft behavioral anchors with the DPP academy courses and identified the training sections where each of the anchors is addressed. This information is necessary for determining the timing of the FTS/new employee sessions for observation of the specific behavioral anchors.</li> </ul>	CY 2021
<ul> <li>4: Develop FTS Program Framework consisting of activities and interactions (tied to Behavioral Anchors) for the new employees to complete with guidance and feedback from FTSs.</li> <li>2020 Update: Dr. Barbee, along with her staff at the University of Louisville, have created draft behavioral anchors. DPP leadership, DCBS Training Branch curriculum developers and trainers, and university partners have reviewed the behavioral anchors. The DCBS Training Branch cross-walked the draft behavioral anchors with the DPP academy courses and identified the training sections where each of the anchors is addressed. This information is necessary for determining the timing of the FTS/new employee sessions for observation of the specific behavioral anchors.</li> </ul>	CY 2022
<ul> <li>5: Design an evaluation plan to assess effectiveness of FTS program, develop online system (web portal) to capture Behavioral Anchor Tool usage in the field.</li> <li>2020 Update: EKU has developed a web-based program in Qualtrics that will be used to facilitate the FTS process. The system is designed to capture the FTS behavioral anchor ratings of new employees based on observations. The system will allow the ratings to be shared with the FTS, new employee, and the new employee's supervisor. The ratings will be compiled and reported on a regular basis. Reports will be shared with DCBS leadership, DCBS Training Branch leadership, and Dr. Barbee. Reports generated through Qualtrics will be used to identify trends in the ratings of behavioral anchors. These aggregate</li> </ul>	CY 2023

		reports of the ratings can be used to inform decisions regarding updates/changes to training curricula, potential changes in DCBS processes, etc.									
		•	lop, deliver and evalu nors, provide training			•		CY 2024			
Data Indi	cators		2019 CFSP Submission	2020 APSR Submission	2021 APSR Submission	2022 APSR Submission	2023 APSR Submission	2024 APSR Submission			
Percentage of from entering the work Source: Personne	force	Actual	44.97% (SSWI entries in 2018)	58.48% (SSWI entries in 2019)							
<b>Turnover</b> Report		Target		44.97%	45.97%	46.97%	47.97%	48.97%			
Percentage of frontline staff exiting the workforce Source: <i>Personnel Cabinet</i> <i>Turnover Report</i>		Actual Target	43.14% (SSWI exits in 2018)	<b>39.52%</b> (SSWI exits in 2019) 43.14%	42.64%	42.14%	41.64%	41.14%			
Goal 5: Improve	the departm		~	43.1478	42.0476	42.1476	41.04%	41.1470			
Objective	-	ent s cui syster		Tas	ks			Target Date			
5.1: Increase the number and quality of CQI stakeholder meetings statewide by 5% by 2024.	Crease the crease the er and y of CQIQuality Assurance1: Modify or identify an information tracking system that can be used to document the quantity and quality of stakeholder meetings.CY 2021y of CQI holderBranch & Field Quality Branch2020 Update: The target date for this activity has been updated as it was determined during the self- assessment phase that a tracking system could not be modified or developed without the full execution of the self-assessment and examination of the strengths and weaknesses of the current tracking system. The department, with assistance from the Center, is consulting with other states to learn about trackingCY 2021										
		2: Incorporate s DPP's CQI proce	stakeholder meetings ess. The target date e completed prior to	s and use of trackir for this activity ha	g system into the s been updated to	reflect the compl	-	CY <b>2021</b>			
			incorporate system		· · · · ·		sts.	CY 2021			
		4: Implement t	ne utilization of the in	nformation trackin	g system for CQI st	takeholder meetin	gs.	CY 2021			

		5: Assess baseline data to determine current functioning of CQI stakeholder meetings. Develop and implement strategies to increase the quantity and quality of meetings.									
		· ·	effectiveness of stra			-	S.		CY 2023		
Data Indi	cators		2019 CFSP Submission	2020 APSR Submission	2021 AP Submissi		APSR nission	2023 APSR Submission	2024 APSR Submission		
Number of CQI sta	akeholder	Actual					Baseline:				
meetings Source: TBD, see r	narrative	Target						+3%	+5%		
Quality of CQI sta	keholder	Actual					Baseline:				
meetings Source: TBD, see r	narrative	Target						+3%	+5%		
Objective	Lead				<b>Tasks</b>				Target Date		
5.2: Implement a formalized CQI training process statewide by 2024.	Quality Assurance Branch & Field Quality Branch	2020 Update: T DACUM profile results of the D 2: Develop a for 2020 Update: C completion dat uniform trainin division, in colla a CQI training a 3: Implement a	Developing a Curricu he DCBS Training B s were completed a ACUM were provid malized initial and o QI specialists are cu e of July 2020. The g so that the same aboration with EKU cademy for initial a formalized initial ar	ranch completed and provided to ed to department ongoing training goal of the CQI CQI principles and will utilize DAC and ongoing CQI ad ongoing traini	a DACUM with the Field Quality int leadership f for CQI special ating in the Ce academy is to re shared throu UM findings a specialist train ng for CQI special	th CQI Speciali ty Branch lead or input prior ists. nter's CQI aca ensure all CQI ughout each o nd identified t ing.	lership for re to finalizatio demy with a specialists r f the regions	eview. The on. target eceive 5. The	CY 2019 CY 2020 CY 2021 CY 2022		
		4: Develop training for second-level case reviewers.									
		5: Implement a training for second-level case reviewers.									
Data Indi	cators										
Comparison of propost-test scores for		Pre-Tes	st Average	Unit 1: <b>60%</b>	Unit 2: <b>67.9%</b>	Unit 3: <b>43.7%</b>	Unit 4:	Unit 5:	Unit 6		
specialists initial t	raining	Post-Te	st Average	Unit 1: <b>85%</b>	Unit 2: <b>80.4%</b>	Unit 3: <b>81%</b>	Unit 4:	Unit 5:	Unit 6:		

Source: Intensive Project-Semi-	Knowledge (	Gain A	verage		25%	12.	5%	37.3%					
Annual Report- Kentucky CQI													
Project <b>draft</b> for Core Team													
		2019 CFSP		2020 APSR 202		021 APSR	PSR 2022		R 2023 APSR		2024 APSR		
		Su	Submission		Ibmission	S	ubmission	Submission		Submission		Submission	
Comparison of statewide	Safety	2	88.1%	2	89.4%	2		2		2		2	
outcome scores from second-	Outcome 2		(03/2018)		(03/2019)								
level case reviews and third-		3	47.22%	3	36.91%	3		3		3		3	
level case reviews			(baseline)		(06/08/2020)								
Source: Casework Quality DIG,		DA	41.08%	DA	52.49%	DA		DA		DA		DA	
Third-Level Case Review State				DT	35%	DT	30%	DT	25%	DT	20%	DT	10%
Rating Summary	Permanency	2	52.2%	2	52.6%	2		2		2		2	
	Outcome 1		(03/2018)		(03/2019)								
2 = Second-level case review		3	30.56%	3	18.64%	3		3		3		3	
3 = Third-level case review			(baseline)		(06/08/2020)								
DA = Difference actual		DA	21.64%	DA	33.96%	DA		DA		DA		DA	
DT = Difference target				DT	50%	DT	40%	DT	30%	DT	20%	DT	10%
	Permanency	2	93.2%	2	93.9%	2		2		2		2	
	Outcome 2		(03/2018)		(03/2019)								
		3	54.17%	3	64.41%	3		3		3		3	
			(baseline)		(06/08/2020)								
		DA	39.03%	DA	29.49%	DA		DA		DA		DA	
				DT	30%		25%		20%	DT	15%	DT	10%
	Well-Being	2	62.5%	2	62.0%			2		2		2	
	Outcome 1		(03/2018)		(03/2019)								
		3	32.22%	3	30.2%			3		3		3	
			(baseline)		(06/08/2020)								
		DA	30.28%	DA	31.8%	DA		DA		DA		DA	
				DT	30%		25%		20%	DT	15%	DT	10%
	Well-Being	2	93.7%	2	92.1%			2		2		2	
	Outcome 2		(03/2018)		(03/2019)								
		3	79.22%	3	83.33%	3		3		3		3	
			(baseline)		(06/08/2020)	-							
		DA	14.48%	DA	8.77%	DA		DA		DA		DA	
				DT	12%	DT	11%	DT	10%	DT	9%	DT	8%

Well-Being	2	95.6%	2	96.0%	2		2		2		2	
Outcome 3		(03/2018)		(03/2019)								
	3	70.5%	3	57.14%	3		3		3		3	
		(baseline)		(06/08/2020)								
	DA	25.1%	DA	38.86%	DA		DA		DA		DA	
			DT	22%	DT	19%	DT	16%	DT	13%	DT	10%